

PUBLIC USE FILE CODEBOOK

AP VoteCast 2018

MAY 2019

PRESENTED BY:
NORC at the
University of Chicago

Table of Contents

Study Methodology	1
Using Weights	8
About The Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research	9
Index of Variables: <i>Listed in the order they appear on the file</i>	10
Details of Variables	23

Study Methodology

AP VoteCast is a survey of the American electorate conducted in all 50 states by NORC at the University of Chicago for The Associated Press and Fox News. The survey is funded by AP. The survey of 138,929 registered voters was conducted October 29 to November 6, 2018, concluding as polls closed on Election Day. Interviews were conducted via phone and web, with 11,059 completing by phone and 127,870 completing by web.

AP VoteCast combines interviews with a random sample of registered voters drawn from state voter files; with self-identified registered voters conducted using NORC's probability-based AmeriSpeak® panel, which is designed to be representative of the U.S. population; and with self-identified registered voters selected from nonprobability online panels. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Respondents received a small monetary incentive for completing the survey. Participants selected from state voter files were contacted by phone and mail, and had the opportunity to take the survey by phone or online.

VoteCast National Survey

The VoteCast survey of voters and nonvoters nationwide is compiled from results of the 50 state-based surveys and a nationally representative survey of 4,913 registered voters conducted on the probability-based AmeriSpeak panel (4,413 completed online and 500 via phone). It includes 40,153 probability interviews completed online (29,761) and via telephone (10,392), and 93,863 nonprobability interviews completed online (93,696) and via telephone (167). The margin of sampling error is plus or minus 0.8 percentage points for voters (n=116,792) and 1.8 percentage points for nonvoters (n=22,137). Registered voters in the District of Columbia were not included.

The overall AAPOR Response Rate 3 for the probability sample drawn from the state voter files was 4.2 percent. For the AmeriSpeak sample, the AAPOR Response Rate 3 was 11.8 percent. A response rate cannot be calculated for nonprobability sample. Harris' partners used routers as well as direct invitations for recruitment. Among the 105,695 panelists who touched the pre-screener instrument, 93,863 went on to complete the full survey

For those who screened into the survey as eligible based on being a registered voter in the state, the interview completion rates were 94.4 percent for the probability sample drawn from the state voter files, 97.3 percent for the AmeriSpeak sample, and 93.4 percent for the nonprobability sample. Due to quality control checks, 0.08% of respondents were removed from the final sample of completed interviews.

VoteCast State Surveys

In 25 states, VoteCast is based on roughly 1,000 probability-based interviews conducted online and via phone, and roughly 3,000 nonprobability interviews conducted online. In these states, the margin of sampling error is estimated to be plus or minus 3.5 percentage points for voters and 8.8 percentage points for nonvoters.

In 25 additional states, VoteCast is based on between 475 and 1,000 nonprobability interviews conducted online.¹ In these states, the margin of sampling error is estimated to be plus or minus 8.7 percentage points for voters and 19.2 percentage points for nonvoters.

State	Probability Interviews	Non-Probability Interviews	Number of Voters	Margin of Sampling Error for Voters (+/- pp)	Number of Non-Voters	Margin of Sampling Error for Non-Voters (+/- pp)
Alaska	-	477	366	12.5	111	18.1
Alabama	-	1,009	774	10.1	235	15.3
Arkansas	-	1,013	797	10.2	216	15.6
Arizona	1,536	3,035	4,128	1.9	443	6.5
California	1,369	3,017	3,769	1.9	617	5.1
Colorado	-	1,013	851	9.8	162	14.9
Connecticut	-	1,006	830	10.4	176	20.1
Delaware	-	1,010	786	10.5	224	14.4
Florida	1,368	3,055	3,744	2.0	679	5.0
Georgia	1,598	3,013	3,984	2.0	627	5.3
Hawaii	-	970	772	10.6	198	14.7
Iowa	-	1,004	817	9.8	187	14.0
Idaho	-	1,001	751	10.2	250	12.6
Illinois	-	1,013	786	9.9	227	13.6
Indiana	1,674	3,029	3,938	2.1	765	4.6
Kansas	1,903	2,840	3,963	2.0	780	4.6
Kentucky	-	761	572	11.1	189	16.0
Louisiana	-	767	584	11.4	183	15.5
Massachusetts	1,572	3,025	4,010	2.3	587	6.0
Maryland	1,649	2,943	3,945	2.1	647	5.6
Maine	-	997	782	10.2	215	13.6
Michigan	1,556	3,037	3,943	2.0	650	5.0
Minnesota	1,903	3,010	4,238	2.0	675	4.8
Missouri	1,565	3,029	3,930	2.0	664	5.2
Mississippi	1,434	2,430	3,031	2.5	833	5.3
Montana	1,895	1,024	2,667	2.4	252	7.6

¹ In North Dakota, insufficient nonprobability sample was available. VoteCast collected 539 interviews from the registered voter list using the same postcard and telephone approach as the registered voter probability sample. These cases were treated as nonprobability cases in the weighting steps.

North Carolina	-	772	559	10.4	173	14.3
North Dakota		1,251	1,096	9.3	155	15.1
Nebraska	-	967	794	10.2	173	18.0
New Hampshire	1,343	1,935	2,753	2.7	525	5.5
New Jersey	1,457	3,032	3,822	2.2	667	5.9
New Mexico	-	974	844	11.4	130	20.6
Nevada	1,138	2,902	3,423	2.0	617	4.9
New York	1,668	3,023	3,751	2.0	940	4.3
Ohio	1,493	3,036	3,842	2.1	687	5.0
Oklahoma	-	1,004	787	10.1	217	14.8
Oregon	-	1,010	879	9.9	131	18.1
Pennsylvania	1,722	3,039	3,949	2.0	812	4.7
Rhode Island	-	1,002	787	10.6	215	15.0
South Carolina	-	1,022	761	10.2	261	13.3
South Dakota	-	808	631	10.9	177	14.8
Tennessee	1,582	3,028	3,831	2.0	779	4.9
Texas	1,583	3,029	3,779	2.0	833	4.5
Utah	-	1,009	825	0.5	184	1.2
Virginia	1,763	3,005	4,066	9.8	702	13.8
Vermont	-	712	528	1.9	184	4.8
Washington	1,853	3,027	4,418	11.1	462	15.1
Wisconsin	2,256	3,032	4,709	1.9	579	5.8
West Virginia	1,273	2,184	2,623	1.8	834	5.4
Wyoming	-	532	377	2.5	155	4.4

Although there is no statistically agreed upon approach for calculating margins of error for nonprobability samples, these margins of error are estimated using a calculation called the root mean squared error and other statistical adjustments. Unlike a standard error, a root mean square error does not easily translate into a traditional statistical confidence interval for the vote count for an individual candidate or the vote differential between two candidates. However, a mean square error is a measure of uncertainty that incorporates the variability associated with the poll estimates, as well as the bias associated with the estimates derived from a nonprobability sample.

As with all surveys, VoteCast is subject to multiple sources of error, including from sampling, question wording and order, and nonresponse.

Sampling Details

Probability-based Registered Voter Sample

In each of the 25 states in which VoteCast includes a probability-based sample, NORC obtained a sample of registered voters from Catalist LLC’s registered voter database. This database includes demographic

information, as well as addresses and phone numbers for registered voters, allowing potential respondents to be contacted via mail and telephone. The sample was stratified by state, partisanship, age and race. In addition, NORC attempted to match sampled records to a registered voter database maintained by L2, which provided additional phone numbers and demographic information. After the matching, NORC had phone numbers for 86 percent of sampled records, including cell phone numbers for 60 percent of records with a phone number. Prior to dialing, all probability sample records are mailed a postcard inviting them to complete the survey either online using a unique PIN or via telephone by calling a toll-free number. Postcards are addressed by name to the sampled registered voter if that individual is under age 35; postcards are addressed to “registered voter” in all other cases. Telephone interviews are conducted with the adult that answers the phone. Both online and telephone respondents provided confirmation of registered voter status in the state. Any individuals who registered after September 29, 2018 would not have been included on the sample frame. Registered voter lists are administrative records and subject to errors. NORC removed records with incomplete address information because a recruitment postcard would be undeliverable. Fewer than 1 percent of sample records were removed due to incomplete address information.

Nonprobability Sample

Nonprobability participants were provided via the Harris Panel, including members of its third-party panels. Harris Panel used random selection to select from their available panelists. In order to achieve the target number of completes, all adult panelists were sampled and eligible to be recruited. In large states with sufficient panel availability, panelists were recruited in batches so as not to exceed the target. Digital fingerprint software and panel-level ID validation is used to prevent respondents from completing the VoteCast survey multiple times. Nonprobability respondents provided confirmation of registered voter status in the state. While there is no way to quantify the size of the non-covered population for an opt-in panel, the primary population least likely to be included is those without internet access.

AmeriSpeak Sample

During the initial recruitment phase of the AmeriSpeak panel, randomly selected U.S. households were sampled with a known, non-zero probability of selection from the NORC National Sample Frame and then contacted by U.S. mail, email, telephone, and field interviewers (face-to-face). The panel provides sample coverage of approximately 97 percent of the U.S. household population. Those excluded from the sample include people with P.O. Box-only addresses, some addresses not listed in the USPS Delivery Sequence File and some newly constructed dwellings. AmeriSpeak panelists provided confirmation of registered voter status in the state.

Weighting Details

VoteCast employs a four-step weighting approach that combines the probability sample with the nonprobability sample, and refines estimates at a subregional level within each state. The 50 state surveys and the AmeriSpeak survey are weighted separately and then combined into a survey representative of voters in all 50 states.

State Surveys

First, weights are constructed separately for the probability sample (when available) and the nonprobability sample for each state survey. These weights are adjusted to population totals to correct for demographic imbalances of the responding sample compared to the population of registered voters in each state. The adjustment targets are derived from a combination of data from the U.S. Census Bureau's November 2016 Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement, Catalist's voter file and the Census Bureau's 2017 American Community Survey. The variables used were:

- Sex (male, female)
- Age (18-34, 35-64, 65+)
- Race/ethnicity (Hispanic, NH-White, NH-Black, All Other)
- Education (less than high school/high school grad, some college, 4-year college grad, post-graduate)
- Age * race/ethnicity (18-34, 35-54, 55+ * NH-White, All Other)
- Education * race/ethnicity (less than HS/HS grad, some college, 4-year college grad+ * NH-White, All Other)
- Partisanship model score (strong Republican, lean Republican, lean Democrat, strong Democrat).
Probability sample only
- Income (<= 25K, 25-50K, 50-75K, 75-100K, 100+K) Non-probability sample only
- County grouping using AP's party grouping (variable "AP_PARTY_REGION") Non-probability sample only

Prior to adjusting to population totals, the probability-based registered voter list sample weights are adjusted for differential non-response related to factors such as availability of phone numbers, age, race, and partisanship.

Second, all non-probability sample respondents receive a calibration weight. The calibration weight is designed to ensure the non-probability sample is similar to a probability sample in regard to variables that are predictive of vote choice that cannot be fully captured through the prior demographic adjustments.

The calibration benchmarks are based on county level estimates from a multilevel regression and

poststratification model that incorporates all probability and non-probability cases nationwide. A national level logistic regression model was fitted using data from all states (both probability and non-probability samples) and AmeriSpeak to make predictions for registered voters at the state-level for Party ID (Democrat, Independent, Republican) and Country on Right/Wrong Track. These state-level predicted estimates are used as calibration benchmarks for the non-probability sample for all states. For Party ID, separate models were fitted for predicting the proportion of Democrats and proportion of Republicans. In addition, five separate models were fitted based on how the county voted in the 2016 Presidential election (i.e., based on % Trump vote for county/town). Models included the following individual level variables and county/town level variables:

- Flag for 18-34 year old registered voter
- Flag for 65+ year old registered voter
- Flag for female registered voter
- Flag for voting for Trump in 2016 Presidential election
- Proportion of non-Hispanic non-White in county/town
- Proportion 25+ years who are college educated in county/town
- Population density in county/town
- Median household income in county/town

Third, all respondents in each state are weighted to improve estimates for substate geographic regions. This weight combines the weighted probability sample (if available) and the calibrated non-probability sample, and then uses a small area model to improve the estimate within subregions of a state. We created between 8 and 30 regions (county groupings) for each state based on AP political and geographic strata, vote choice in previous elections, demographics, and the number of expected survey completes in each county. We then used these groupings to generate model-based estimates of vote choice among likely voters. For states with two or more statewide races, the small domain model was applied to the primary race.

For each state, there were two models: 1) predicting percent of vote share that goes for either of the two major parties' candidates, 2) predicting percent of major party vote share that goes for the Democratic/Republican candidate. The following variables were used as potential covariates in the model: 2016 Presidential election results, population density, median income, percent below poverty line, percent unemployed, percent college degree, portion on public assistance, percent insurance coverage, percent nonwhite, percent citizen, percent 18-34 years old, percent 65 and older, and percent who have

not moved in last year. For each state, we included in the models: 1) the 2016 presidential vote choice, and based on model fit, 2) a measure of socioeconomic status, 3) at least one demographic or geographic measure.

Fourth, the survey results are weighted to the actual vote count following the completion of the election. This weighting is done in 8-30 sub-state regions within each state.

National Survey

The national survey is weighted to combine the 50 state surveys with the nationwide AmeriSpeak survey. Each of the state surveys is weighted as described. The AmeriSpeak survey receives a nonresponse-adjusted weight that is then adjusted to national totals for registered voters derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's November 2016 Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement, the Catalist voter file and the Census Bureau's 2017 American Community Survey. The state surveys are further adjusted to represent their appropriate proportion of the registered voter population for the country and combined with the AmeriSpeak survey. After all votes are counted, the national data file is adjusted to match the national vote for members of the U.S. House of Representatives within each state.

Contact

For more information, visit www.apnorc.org or email info@apnorc.org.

Using Weights

AP VoteCast is designed to be analyzed using weighted data. The data file includes different weights for different types of analyses.

- To produce estimates at the state level (e.g., percent of Californians who approve of President Trump), the state weights should be used.
- To produce estimates at the national level (e.g., the percent of registered voters nationwide who voted for a Democratic candidate for the House), the national-level weights should be used.

Additionally, the data file includes weights that represent results at two different stages of data collection.

- The FINALVOTE weights should be used to produce estimates that are adjusted to reflect the final vote counts in addition to demographic, geographic, and calibration adjustments. Certified vote count data was provided by AP. AP VoteCast recommends using these weights for most analyses.
- The POLLCLOSE weights can be used to produce estimates prior to any adjustments to final vote counts. These weights are provided for transparency of the methodology to permit comparison of the survey's estimates at poll close but prior to adjusting the survey outcome to match the final vote count.

To reproduce estimates in AP's publically-available VoteCast crosstabs of voters and estimates of voter demographics nationwide, limit analysis to LIKELYVOTER=1 and cases that are not missing RACE5_VOTE. The FINALVOTE_NATIONAL_WEIGHT variable should be used for weights.

To reproduce estimates in each state, limit analysis to LIKELYVOTER=1, the state of interest (using either P_STATE or STATENUM) and cases where vote choice in the race of interest (SENVOTE, SENSPVOTE, GOVVOTE) is not missing. The FINALVOTE_STATE_WEIGHT variable should be used for weights.

About The Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research

The AP-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research taps into the power of social science research and the highest quality journalism to bring key information to people across the nation and throughout the world.

- The Associated Press is the world's essential news organization, bringing fast, unbiased news to all media platforms and formats.
- NORC at the University of Chicago is one of the oldest and most respected, objective social science research institutions in the world.

The two organizations have established The AP-NORC Center to conduct, analyze, and distribute social science research in the public interest on newsworthy topics, and to use the power of journalism to tell the stories that research reveals.

The founding principles of The AP-NORC Center include a mandate to carefully preserve and protect the scientific integrity and objectivity of NORC and the journalistic independence of The Associated Press. All work conducted by The AP-NORC Center conforms to the highest levels of scientific integrity to prevent any real or perceived bias in the research. All of the work of The AP-NORC Center is subject to review by its advisory committee to help ensure it meets these standards. The AP-NORC Center publicizes the results of all studies and makes all datasets and study documentation available to scholars and the public.

Index of Variables: *Listed in the order they appear on the file*

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
1	SU_ID	CASEID	Char	8	Case ID
2	POLLCLOSE_STATE_WEIGHT	N/A	Num	8	POLLCLOSE_STATE_WEIGHT. Weight for state-level analysis before adjusting to vote counts
3	POLLCLOSE_NATIONAL_WEIGHT	N/A	Num	8	POLLCLOSE_NATIONAL_WEIGHT. Weight for national-level analysis before adjusting to vote counts
4	FINALVOTE_STATE_WEIGHT	N/A	Num	8	FINALVOTE_STATE_WEIGHT. Weight for state-level analysis after adjusting to final vote counts
5	FINALVOTE_NATIONAL_WEIGHT	N/A	Num	8	FINALVOTE_NATIONAL_WEIGHT. Weight for national-level analysis after adjusting to final vote counts
6	MODE	N/A	Num	8	MODE. Survey mode.
7	P_STATE	N/A	Char	2	P_STATE. State - Character variable - State abbreviation
8	STATENUM	N/A	Num	8	STATENUM. State - numeric variable
9	LVA	LVA	Num	8	LVA. How interested are you in the election on November 6th in [STATE]?
10	LVB	LVB	Num	8	LVB. How likely are you to vote in the election?
11	LV	LV	Num	8	LV. Please indicate how likely it is that you will vote in this election.
12	LIKELYVOTER	LVB, LV, QPVVOTE, QPVVOTE3	Num	8	LIKELYVOTER. Likely Voter Model
13	WVA	WVA	Num	8	WVA. Did you vote at a polling place today, or did you vote by mail or in person at some point before Election Day?
14	WVB	WVB	Num	8	WVB. If you do vote in this election, which best describes how you plan to cast your ballot?
15	TIMEVOTE	WVA, WVB, P_STATE	Num	8	TIMEVOTE. Advance vote

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
16	RACE1_VOTE	RACE1, RACE1_LEAN, RACE1M, RACE1M_LEAN	Num	8	RACE1_VOTE. Race 1 candidate vote
17	RACE1_PARTY	RACE1, RACE1_LEAN	Num	8	RACE1_PARTY. Race 1 party vote
18	RACE1_RACEID	RACE1, RACE1_LEAN, RACE1M, RACE1M_LEAN	Num	8	RACE1_RACEID. Race 1 race ID
19	RACE1_OFFICEID	RACE1, RACE1_LEAN, RACE1M, RACE1M_LEAN	Char	2	RACE1_OFFICEID. Race 1 office ID
20	RACE1_RACETYPEID	RACE1, RACE1_LEAN, RACE1M, RACE1M_LEAN	Char	1	RACE1_RACETYPEID. Race 1 race type ID
21	RACE2_VOTE	RACE2, RACE2_LEAN	Num	8	RACE2_VOTE. Race 2 candidate vote
22	RACE2_PARTY	RACE2, RACE2_LEAN	Num	8	RACE2_PARTY. Race 2 party vote
23	RACE2_RACEID	RACE2, RACE2_LEAN	Num	8	RACE2_RACEID. Race 2 race ID
24	RACE2_OFFICEID	RACE2, RACE2_LEAN	Char	2	RACE2_OFFICEID. Race 2 office ID
25	RACE2_RACETYPEID	RACE2, RACE2_LEAN	Char	1	RACE2_RACETYPEID. Race 2 race type ID
26	RACE3_VOTE	RACE3, RACE3_LEAN	Num	8	RACE3_VOTE. Race 3 candidate vote
27	RACE3_PARTY	RACE3, RACE3_LEAN	Num	8	RACE3_PARTY. Race 3 party vote
28	RACE3_RACEID	RACE3, RACE3_LEAN	Num	8	RACE3_RACEID. Race 3 race ID
29	RACE3_OFFICEID	RACE3, RACE3_LEAN	Char	2	RACE3_OFFICEID. Race 3 office ID
30	RACE3_RACETYPEID	RACE3, RACE3_LEAN	Char	1	RACE3_RACETYPEID. Race 3 race type ID
31	RACE4_VOTE	RACE4, RACE4_LEAN	Num	8	RACE4_VOTE. Race 4 candidate vote
32	RACE4_PARTY	RACE4, RACE4_LEAN	Num	8	RACE4_PARTY. Race 4 party vote
33	RACE4_RACEID	RACE4, RACE4_LEAN	Num	8	RACE4_RACEID. Race 4 race ID
34	RACE4_OFFICEID	RACE4, RACE4_LEAN	Char	2	RACE4_OFFICEID. Race 4 office ID
35	RACE4_RACETYPEID	RACE4, RACE4_LEAN	Char	1	RACE4_RACETYPEID. Race 4 race type ID

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
36	RACE5_VOTE	RACE5, RACE5_LEAN	Num	8	RACE5_VOTE. Race 5 candidate vote - Generic House Ballot for all cases ²
37	RACE5_PARTY	RACE5, RACE5_LEAN	Num	8	RACE5_PARTY. Race 5 party vote
38	RACE5_RACEID	RACE5, RACE5_LEAN	Num	8	RACE5_RACEID. Race 5 race ID
39	RACE5_OFFICEID	RACE5, RACE5_LEAN	Char	2	RACE5_OFFICEID. Race 5 office ID
40	RACE5_RACETYPEID	RACE5, RACE5_LEAN	Char	1	RACE5_RACETYPEID. Race 5 race type ID
41	BALLOT1_VOTE	BALLOT1	Num	8	BALLOT1_VOTE. Ballot 1 candidate vote
42	BALLOT1_PARTY	BALLOT1	Num	8	BALLOT1_PARTY. Ballot 1 party vote
43	BALLOT1_RACEID	BALLOT1	Num	8	BALLOT1_RACEID. Ballot 1 race ID
44	BALLOT1_OFFICEID	BALLOT1	Char	2	BALLOT1_OFFICEID. Ballot 1 office ID
45	BALLOT1_RACETYPEID	BALLOT1	Char	1	BALLOT1_RACETYPEID. Ballot 1 race type ID
46	SENVOTE	RACE1, RACE1_LEAN, RACE1M, RACE1M_LEAN	Num	8	SENVOTE. Senate vote
47	SENSPVOTE	RACE2, RACE2_LEAN	Num	8	SENSPVOTE. Senate special vote
48	GOVVOTE	RACE3, RACE3_LEAN	Num	8	GOVVOTE. Governor vote
49	MAINECHOICE2	RACE1M2, RACE1M2_LEAN	Num	8	MAINECHOICE2. Maine Senate vote, second choice
50	TRACK	TRACK	Num	8	TRACK. Direction of the country
51	ISSUES	ISSUES	Num	8	ISSUES. Most important issue facing the country
52	LIFE	LIFE	Num	8	LIFE. Life for the next generation of Americans will be
53	PRESAPP4	PRESAPP	Num	8	PRESAPP4. Trump job approval with strength
54	TRUMPFACOR3	TFACOR	Num	8	TRUMPFACOR3. Was Trump a reason for vote

² Single House district states also have their House seat race vote data in RACE4_VOTE by candidate name. In those states, RACE4_VOTE was recoded into a generic ballot House race in RACE5_VOTE to allow for analysis of national House voting.

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
55	TRUMPTRAITSTEMPERAMENT	TTRAITS	Num	8	TRUMPTRAITSTEMPERAMENT. Trump has the right temperament to serve effectively as president
56	TRUMPTRAITSCHANGE	TTRAITS	Num	8	TRUMPTRAITSCHANGE. Trump is bringing needed change to the government
57	TRUMPTRAITSLEADER	TTRAITS	Num	8	TRUMPTRAITSLEADER. Trump is a strong leader
58	TRUMPTRAITSHONEST	TTRAITS	Num	8	TRUMPTRAITSHONEST. Trump is honest and trustworthy
59	TRUMPTRAITS CARES	TTRAITS	Num	8	TRUMPTRAITS CARES. Trump cares about people like you
60	TRUMPTRAITSSTANDSUP	TTRAITS	Num	8	TRUMPTRAITSSTANDSUP. Trump stands up for what he believes in
61	TRUMPJOB ECON	TJOB ISS	Num	8	TRUMPJOB ECON. Approval of Trump on economy
62	TRUMPJOB HEALTH	TJOB ISS	Num	8	TRUMPJOB HEALTH. Approval of Trump on health care
63	TRUMPJOB IMMIG	TJOB ISS	Num	8	TRUMPJOB IMMIG. Approval of Trump on immigration
64	TRUMPJOB TRADE	TJOB ISS	Num	8	TRUMPJOB TRADE. Approval of Trump on international trade
65	TRUMPJOB SCOTUS	TJOB ISS	Num	8	TRUMPJOB SCOTUS. Approval of Trump on Supreme Court nominations
66	TRUMPJOB BORDER	TJOB ISS	Num	8	TRUMPJOB BORDER. Approval of Trump on border security
67	FAVTRUMP	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVTRUMP. Opinion of Donald Trump
68	FAVREP	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVREP. Opinion of the Republican Party
69	FAVDEM	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVDEM. Opinion of the Democratic Party
70	FAVSENDEM	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVSENDEM. Opinion of Democratic candidate for Senate
71	FAVSENREP	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVSENREP. Opinion of Republican candidate for Senate
72	FAVSENIND	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVSENIND. Opinion of Independent candidate for Senate

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
73	FAVGOVDEM	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVGOVDEM. Opinion of Democratic candidate for governor
74	FAVGOVREP	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVGOVREP. Opinion of Republican candidate for governor
75	FAVGOVIND	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVGOVIND. Opinion of Independent candidate for governor
76	FAVFEINSTEIN	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVFEINSTEIN. Opinion of Feinstein (Democrat, CA)
77	FAVDELEON	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVDELEON. Opinion of DeLeon (Democrat, CA)
78	FAVSENSPECIALDEM	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVSENSPECIALDEM. Opinion of Smith (Democrat, MN Special)
79	FAVSENSPECIALREP	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVSENSPECIALREP. Opinion of Housley (Republican, MN Special)
80	FAVESPY	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVESPY. Opinion of Espy (Democrat, MS Special)
81	FAVHYDESMITH	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVHYDESMITH. Opinion of Hyde-Smith (Republican, MS Special)
82	FAVMCDANIEL	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVMCDANIEL. Opinion of McDaniel (Republican, MS Special)
83	SUPREMECOURT	SUPREMECOURT	Num	8	SUPREMECOURT. Importance of debate over Kavanaugh's confirmation to vote
84	PARTYCONTROL	PARTYCONTROL	Num	8	PARTYCONTROL. Importance of party control to vote for Senate
85	CONGRESSJOB4	CJOB	Num	8	CONGRESSJOB4. Congress job approval with strength
86	TRUSTGOVT	TRUSTGOVT	Num	8	TRUSTGOVT. Trust government to do what's right
87	ETHICS	ETHICS	Num	8	ETHICS. Trump administration ethics compared with previous administrations
88	GOVTANGR	GOVTANGER	Num	8	GOVTANGR. Feelings toward federal government
89	GOVTD0	GOVTD0	Num	8	GOVTD0. Views of government's role
90	NEC	NEC	Num	8	NEC. Condition of national economy
91	GETAHEAD	GETAHEAD	Num	8	GETAHEAD. Family's financial situation

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
92	TRADENATIONALECON	TRADE	Num	8	TRADENATIONALECON. Impact of Trump admin's trade policies on national economy
93	TRADELOCALECON	TRADE	Num	8	TRADELOCALECON. Impact of Trump admin's trade policies on local economy
94	ECONFAIRWEALTHY	ECONFAIR	Num	8	ECONFAIRWEALTHY. How the economic system treats the wealthy
95	ECONFAIRMIDDLE	ECONFAIR	Num	8	ECONFAIRMIDDLE. How the economic system treats the middle class
96	ECONFAIRPOOR	ECONFAIR	Num	8	ECONFAIRPOOR. How the economic system treats the poor
97	TAXCUTS	TAXCUTS	Num	8	TAXCUTS. Opinion of 2017 tax law
98	HEALTHLAW	HEALTHLAW	Num	8	HEALTHLAW. Views of the Affordable Care Act
99	HEALTHGOV	HEALTHGOV	Num	8	HEALTHGOV. Government responsibility to provide healthcare
100	IMMDEPORT	IMMDEPORT	Num	8	IMMDEPORT. Immigration policy - immigrants living in the U.S. illegally
101	IMMBETTER	IMMBETTER	Num	8	IMMBETTER. Views of immigrants in the U.S.
102	IMMWALL	IMMWALL	Num	8	IMMWALL. U.S.-Mexico border wall
103	RACEREL	RACEREL	Num	8	RACEREL. Advantages of blacks and whites in US society
104	CLIMATE	CLIMATE	Num	8	CLIMATE. Concern over effects of climate change
105	GUNPOLICY	GUNPOLICY	Num	8	GUNPOLICY. Views of gun laws
106	ABORTION	ABORTION	Num	8	ABORTION. Views on abortion
107	METOOBELIEVE	METOO	Num	8	METOOBELIEVE. Concern about women not being believed when they make allegations of sexual misconduct
108	METOODEFEND	METOO	Num	8	METOODEFEND. Concern about men not being given the opportunity to defend themselves against allegations of sexual misconduct

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
109	PCSPEECH	PCSPEECH	Num	8	PCSPEECH. Too much pressure to be politically correct these days
110	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	Num	8	MARIJUANA. Should use of marijuana be legal nationwide
111	OPIOID	OPIOID	Num	8	OPIOID. Concern about the use of opioids in your community
112	SAFETERROR	SAFETERR	Num	8	SAFETERROR. Trump administration impact on U.S. safety - terrorism
113	SAFECRIME	SAFETERR	Num	8	SAFECRIME. Trump administration impact on U.S. safety - crime
114	SAFECYBER	SAFETERR	Num	8	SAFECYBER. Trump administration impact on U.S. safety - cyberattacks
115	RUSSIA	RUSSIA	Num	8	RUSSIA. Do you think the Trump campaign coordinated with the Russian government during the 2016 presidential election?
116	INTERFERENCE	INTERFERENC E	Num	8	INTERFERENCE. Concerns about foreign government interference impacting the outcome of the 2018 midterms
117	Q2020VOTE	VOTE2020	Num	8	Q2020VOTE. 2020 vote choice
118	REPINTENTIONS	REPINTENT	Num	8	REPINTENTIONS. Intentions of Republicans
119	DEMINTENTIONS	DEMINTENT	Num	8	DEMINTENTIONS. Intentions of Democrats
120	ATTENDANCE	ATTEND	Num	8	ATTENDANCE. Church attendance
121	MARRIED	MARRIED	Num	8	MARRIED: Marital status
122	MARRIED2	MARRIED	Num	8	MARRIED2: Marital status, 2 categories
123	GUNOWNER	GUNOWNER	Num	8	GUNOWNER. Gun owner
124	UNION	UNIONHH	Num	8	UNION. Union member
125	VET	VET	Num	8	VET. Veteran
126	LGB	LGBT	Num	8	LGB. Lesbian, gay, bisexual identification
127	TRANSGENDER	LGBT	Num	8	TRANSGENDER. Transgender identification
128	BORNCITIZEN	BORNCITIZEN	Num	8	BORNCITIZEN. Born a citizen, or not
129	GOVJOBKASICH4	GOVJOB	Num	8	GOVJOBKASICH4. Governor job approval - Kasich, 4 categories

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
130	GOVJOBSNYDER4	GOVJOB	Num	8	GOVJOBSNYDER4. Governor job approval - Snyder, 4 categories
131	FAVSESSIONS	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVSESSIONS. Opinion of Jeff Sessions
132	FAVJONES	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVJONES. Opinion of Doug Jones
133	FAVPALIN	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVPALIN. Opinion of Sarah Palin
134	FAVFLAKE	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVFLAKE. Opinion of Jeff Flake
135	FAVCOTTON	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVCOTTON. Opinion of Tom Cotton
136	FAVBOOZMAN	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVBOOZMAN. Opinion of John Boozman
137	FAVEMANUEL	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVEMANUEL. Opinion of Rahm Emanuel
138	FAVPENCE	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVPENCE. Opinion of Mike Pence
139	FAVFRANKEN	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVFRANKEN. Opinion of Al Franken
140	FAVINSLEE	FAVORABLE	Num	8	FAVINSLEE. Opinion of Jay Inslee
141	MEDICAID	MEDICAID	Num	8	MEDICAID. Support Medicaid expansion in state
142	SPORTSBETTING	SPORTSBET	Num	8	SPORTSBETTING. Support legalized betting on sports in state
143	CASINOS	CASINOS	Num	8	CASINOS. Approval of legal casino gambling in state
144	JOBSIT	JOBSIT	Num	8	JOBSIT. Job situation in state
145	AKDIVIDENDS	AKDIVIDENDS	Num	8	AKDIVIDENDS. Oil wealth fund dividend check in Alaska
146	DEATHPENALTY	DEATHPEN	Num	8	DEATHPENALTY. Views of death penalty in state
147	AFFHOUSING	HOUSING	Num	8	AFFHOUSING. Concern over affordable housing availability in state
148	TEACHERSTRIKES	TCHSTRIKE	Num	8	TEACHERSTRIKES. Approval of teacher strikes in state
149	SECONDCHANCELAW	SCNDCHANCE	Num	8	SECONDCHANCELAW. Approval of 'Second Chance Society' law in Connecticut
150	ILBUDGET	ILBUDGET	Num	8	ILBUDGET. Approval of Governor Rauner handling budget deficit
151	CORRUPTION	CORRUPTION	Num	8	CORRUPTION. Assessment of corruption in state government

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
152	TEACHERSUNIONS	TEACHUNIONS	Num	8	TEACHERSUNIONS. Opinion of teachers unions
153	EDBUDGET	EDBUDGET	Num	8	EDBUDGET. Support for raising taxes to increase funding for public schools in state
154	TRANSPORTATION	TRANSPORT	Num	8	TRANSPORTATION. Support for raising taxes to increase funding for infrastructure in state
155	PROSTITUTION	PROSTI	Num	8	PROSTITUTION. Views of legal prostitution in Nevada
156	GOVWORKER	GOVWORKER	Num	8	GOVWORKER. Government worker
157	INCOMETAX	INCOMETAX	Num	8	INCOMETAX. Support for income tax in Washington state
158	ENERGY	ENERGY	Num	8	ENERGY. Views of energy policy in state
159	COALHH	COALHH	Num	8	COALHH. Work in coal industry
160	OPIOIDEXP	OPIOIDEXP	Num	8	OPIOIDEXP. Has had a family member or friend with addiction to opioids
161	ENDANGEREDSPECIES	ENDANGER	Num	8	ENDANGEREDSPECIES. Views of protections for animals and plants under the Endangered Species Act
162	MURKOWSKISC	MURKAKSC	Num	8	MURKOWSKISC. Approval of Lisa Murkowski's handling of Kavanaugh's confirmation
163	COLLINSSC	COLLINSSC	Num	8	COLLINSSC. Approval of Susan Collins' handling of Kavanaugh's confirmation
164	GASTAX	GASTAX	Num	8	GASTAX. Taxes on gasoline in California
165	HARRISPRES	HARRISPRES	Num	8	HARRISPRES. Would Kamala Harris make a good president, or not?
166	MURPHYPRES	MURPHYPRES	Num	8	MURPHYPRES. Would Chris Murphy make a good president, or not?
167	BIDENPRES	BIDENPRES	Num	8	BIDENPRES. Would Joe Biden make a good president, or not?
168	MAPRESWARREN	MAPRES	Num	8	MAPRESWARREN. Would Elizabeth Warren make a good president, or not?

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
169	MAPRESPATRICK	MAPRES	Num	8	MAPRESPATRICK. Would Deval Patrick make a good president, or not?
170	NYPRESBLOOMBERG	NYPRES	Num	8	NYPRESBLOOMBERG. Would Michael Bloomberg make a good president, or not?
171	NYPRESGILLIBRAND	NYPRES	Num	8	NYPRESGILLIBRAND. Would Kirsten Gillibrand make a good president, or not?
172	KLOBUCHARPRES	KLOBUCHARPRES	Num	8	KLOBUCHARPRES. Would Amy Klobuchar make a good president, or not?
173	MERKLEYPRES	MERKLEYPRES	Num	8	MERKLEYPRES. Would Jeff Merkley make a good president, or not?
174	SANDERSPRES	SANDERSPRES	Num	8	SANDERSPRES. Would Bernie Sanders make a good president, or not?
175	UNFAIRFLGILLUM	UNFAIR	Num	8	UNFAIRFLGILLUM. Did Andrew Gillum attack DeSantis unfairly?
176	UNFAIRFLDESANTIS	UNFAIR	Num	8	UNFAIRFLDESANTIS. Did Ron DeSantis attack Gillum unfairly?
177	UNFAIRNJMENENDEZ	UNFAIR	Num	8	UNFAIRNJMENENDEZ. Did Bob Menendez attack Hugin unfairly?
178	UNFAIRNJHUGIN	UNFAIR	Num	8	UNFAIRNJHUGIN. Did Bob Hugin attack Menendez unfairly?
179	COMARIJUANA	COMARIJUAN	Num	8	COMARIJUANA. Assessment of legalization of marijuana for Colorado
180	REDTIDE	REDTIDE	Num	8	REDTIDE. Support for regulations to address Red Tide and other environment issues along Florida's coast
181	FIRSTBLACKGOV	FIRSTBLACKGOV	Num	8	FIRSTBLACKGOV. How important is it to your vote that Stacey Abrams would be the first black governor of Georgia?
182	ALLOWEDVOTE	ALLOWEDVOTE	Num	8	ALLOWEDVOTE. Confidence that those who are eligible to vote in GA will be allowed to vote in the election
183	EASEVOTING	EASEVOTING	Num	8	EASEVOTING. Assessment of ease/difficulty of the process of voting for you in the election

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
184	EMERGENCYRESPONSE	EMERGENCY	Num	8	EMERGENCYRESPONSE. Confidence in Hawaii's emergency response systems
185	TRADEDEMVSREP	TRADEDR	Num	8	TRADEDEMVSREP. Better job handling trade
186	KSTAX	KSTAX	Num	8	KSTAX. Assessment of Brownback's tax policies for Kansas
187	MSHYDESMITHVSESPY	HSE	Num	8	MSHYDESMITHVSESPY. Hyde-Smith / Espy head-to-head
188	MSMCDANIELVSESPY	MCDE	Num	8	MSMCDANIELVSESPY. McDaniel / Espy head-to-head
189	PREEXISTINGCOND	PREEXISTINGCOND	Num	8	PREEXISTINGCOND. Importance of government prohibiting insurance companies from charging those with pre-existing conditions more money
190	Q2020EXCITEMENT	EXCITE2020	Num	8	Q2020EXCITEMENT. Excitement for 2020 presidential primary in state
191	HONESTMENENDEZ	HONEST	Num	8	HONESTMENENDEZ. Menendez is honest and trustworthy
192	HONESTHUGIN	HONEST	Num	8	HONESTHUGIN. Hugin is honest and trustworthy
193	TAYLOR	TAYLOR	Num	8	TAYLOR. Opinion of Taylor Swift
194	CONFEDERATEFLAG	CONFEDFLAG	Num	8	CONFEDERATEFLAG. Reaction to confederate flag
195	OROURKETOOLIBERAL	BETOTOOLIB	Num	8	OROURKETOOLIBERAL. Ideology of O'Rourke's positions on the issues
196	CRUZFOCUS	CRUZFOCUS	Num	8	CRUZFOCUS. Ted Cruz focused more on
197	ROMNEYTRUMP	ROMNEYTRUMP	Num	8	ROMNEYTRUMP. Preference for Romney if he's elected
198	QPVVOTE	QPVVOTE	Num	8	QPVVOTE. Voted in 2016
199	Q2016VOTE	QVOTE2016	Num	8	Q2016VOTE. 2016 vote
200	FIRSTTIME	FIRSTTIME	Num	8	FIRSTTIME. First time voter
201	QPVVOTE3	QPVVOTE3	Num	8	QPVVOTE3. Voted in 2014
202	WNV	WNV	Num	8	WNV. Biggest thing that might prevent from voting

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
203	BREAKA	BREAKA	Num	8	BREAKA. Do you think the way Democrats talk about politics these days is leading to an increase in acts of violence, or don't you think so?
204	BREAKB	BREAKB	Num	8	BREAKB. Do you think the way Republican talk about politics these days is leading to an increase in acts of violence, or don't you think so?
205	BREAKC	BREAKC	Num	8	BREAKC. Which best describes when you decided how you would vote?
206	SEX	SEX	Num	8	SEX. Sex
207	AGE65	AGE	Num	8	AGE65. Age, granular
208	RACETH	LATINO, CUBAN, RACE	Num	8	RACETH. Race/Ethnicity
209	RACETH5	LATINO, CUBAN, RACE	Num	8	RACETH5. Race/Ethnicity
210	CUBAN	CUBAN	Num	8	CUBAN. Cuban Heritage
211	EDUC	EDUC	Num	8	EDUC. Education, 4 categories
212	INCOME	INCOME1	Num	8	INCOME. 2017 household income
213	PARTY	PID1	Num	8	PARTY. Party ID (no leaners)
214	PARTYFULL	PID1, PIDI	Num	8	PARTYFULL. Party ID with leaners
215	IDEO	PHIL3	Num	8	IDEO. Ideology
216	RELIG	RELIGION	Num	8	RELIG. Religion
217	RELIG4	RELIGION	Num	8	RELIG4. Religion, 4 categories
218	BORNAGAIN	BORNAGAIN	Num	8	BORNAGAIN. Would you describe yourself as a born-again or evangelical Christian, or not?
219	SIZEPLACE	SIZEPLACE	Num	8	SIZEPLACE. Community type
220	FORMFLAG	N/A	Num	8	FORM. Questionnaire form - See questionnaire note for details

Variables #12, 15-40, 46-49, 208-209, and 214 are derived from more than one question.

Due to the risk of re-identification, the variables below were coded as '88 – REMOVED FOR DISCLOSURE RISK' for the respondents in the following states:

- RACETH – AR, CT, DE, FL, GA, IA, IL, MA, MO, ND, NE, NM, OH, OK, OR, SC, TN, TX. Please see RACETH5 for re-coded version with some categories collapsed to mitigate disclosure risk.
- RELIG – AK, AL, CT, IA, ID, KY, ME, ND, NM, RI, SD, UT. Please see RELIG4 for re-coded version with some categories collapsed to mitigate disclosure risk.
- MARRIED – AK, IA, ID, NE, NM, OK, SD, VT, and select respondents in CA, DE, MS, NH. Please see MARRIED2 for re-coded version with some categories collapsed to mitigate disclosure risk.
- TRANSGENDER – AK, AL, AR, CO, IA, ID, ME, NE, OK, VT.
- BORNCITIZEN – AZ, NM, SD, WY.

A full questionnaire corresponding to the “Question” column in the table above can be found packaged with these materials on www.apnorc.org.

Details of Variables

- “.” Or space: logic skip, respondent is not applicable to a specific question
- 99: missing, survey non-respondent
- Weighted frequencies using FINALVOTE_NATIONAL_WEIGHT

MODE. Survey mode.			
MODE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Phone	11,059	18,667,794	9.56
(2) Web	127,870	176,631,338	90.44
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

P_STATE. State – Character variable – State abbreviation			
P_STATE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(AK) Alaska	478	528,354	0.27
(AL) Alabama	1,068	3,162,498	1.62
(AR) Arkansas	1,038	1,664,066	0.85
(AZ) Arizona	4,682	3,992,808	2.04
(CA) California	4,887	18,398,175	9.42
(CO) Colorado	1,148	3,843,577	1.97
(CT) Connecticut	1,039	2,195,291	1.12
(DE) Delaware	1,049	700,288	0.36
(FL) Florida	4,805	13,411,893	6.87
(GA) Georgia	4,729	6,525,534	3.34
(HI) Hawaii	980	708,240	0.36
(IA) Iowa	1,079	2,067,778	1.06
(ID) Idaho	1,049	830,162	0.43
(IL) Illinois	1,257	8,178,731	4.19
(IN) Indiana	4,828	4,366,279	2.24
(KS) Kansas	4,778	1,731,013	0.89
(KY) Kentucky	827	3,261,485	1.67
(LA) Louisiana	852	2,945,049	1.51
(MA) Massachusetts	4,677	4,267,769	2.19
(MD) Maryland	4,663	4,077,637	2.09
(ME) Maine	1,022	968,264	0.50
(MI) Michigan	4,796	7,156,620	3.66
(MN) Minnesota	5,021	3,226,407	1.65
(MO) Missouri	4,727	4,053,979	2.08
(MS) Mississippi	3,889	1,902,896	0.97
(MT) Montana	2,933	654,536	0.34
(NC) North Carolina	906	6,745,396	3.45

(ND) North Dakota	1,256	353,420	0.18
(NE) Nebraska	1,063	1,212,421	0.62
(NH) New Hampshire	3,287	898,728	0.46
(NJ) New Jersey	4,615	5,611,296	2.87
(NM) New Mexico	1,003	1,214,118	0.62
(NV) Nevada	4,080	1,663,105	0.85
(NY) New York	4,920	11,689,244	5.99
(OH) Ohio	4,744	7,785,349	3.99
(OK) Oklahoma	1,051	2,017,247	1.03
(OR) Oregon	1,072	3,049,205	1.56
(PA) Pennsylvania	4,923	8,099,590	4.15
(RI) Rhode Island	1,012	735,692	0.38
(SC) South Carolina	1,052	3,259,721	1.67
(SD) South Dakota	852	627,522	0.32
(TN) Tennessee	4,720	3,901,423	2.00
(TX) Texas	4,959	15,038,169	7.70
(UT) Utah	1,036	1,487,616	0.76
(VA) Virginia	4,874	5,391,072	2.76
(VT) Vermont	727	468,596	0.24
(WA) Washington	5,002	4,616,060	2.36
(WI) Wisconsin	5,443	3,155,158	1.62
(WV) West Virginia	3,496	1,201,043	0.62
(WY) Wyoming	535	258,614	0.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

STATENUM. State – numeric variable			
STATENUM	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Alaska	478	528,354	0.27
(2) Alabama	1,068	3,162,498	1.62
(3) Arkansas	1,038	1,664,066	0.85
(4) Arizona	4,682	3,992,808	2.04
(5) California	4,887	18,398,175	9.42
(6) Colorado	1,148	3,843,577	1.97
(7) Connecticut	1,039	2,195,291	1.12
(8) Delaware	1,049	700,288	0.36
(9) Florida	4,805	13,411,893	6.87
(10) Georgia	4,729	6,525,534	3.34
(11) Hawaii	980	708,240	0.36
(12) Iowa	1,079	2,067,778	1.06
(13) Idaho	1,049	830,162	0.43
(14) Illinois	1,257	8,178,731	4.19
(15) Indiana	4,828	4,366,279	2.24
(16) Kansas	4,778	1,731,013	0.89

(17) Kentucky	827	3,261,485	1.67
(18) Louisiana	852	2,945,049	1.51
(19) Massachusetts	4,677	4,267,769	2.19
(20) Maryland	4,663	4,077,637	2.09
(21) Maine	1,022	968,264	0.50
(22) Michigan	4,796	7,156,620	3.66
(23) Minnesota	5,021	3,226,407	1.65
(24) Missouri	4,727	4,053,979	2.08
(25) Mississippi	3,889	1,902,896	0.97
(26) Montana	2,933	654,536	0.34
(27) North Carolina	906	6,745,396	3.45
(28) North Dakota	1,256	353,420	0.18
(29) Nebraska	1,063	1,212,421	0.62
(30) New Hampshire	3,287	898,728	0.46
(31) New Jersey	4,615	5,611,296	2.87
(32) New Mexico	1,003	1,214,118	0.62
(33) Nevada	4,080	1,663,105	0.85
(34) New York	4,920	11,689,244	5.99
(35) Ohio	4,744	7,785,349	3.99
(36) Oklahoma	1,051	2,017,247	1.03
(37) Oregon	1,072	3,049,205	1.56
(38) Pennsylvania	4,923	8,099,590	4.15
(39) Rhode Island	1,012	735,692	0.38
(40) South Carolina	1,052	3,259,721	1.67
(41) South Dakota	852	627,522	0.32
(42) Tennessee	4,720	3,901,423	2.00
(43) Texas	4,959	15,038,169	7.70
(44) Utah	1,036	1,487,616	0.76
(45) Virginia	4,874	5,391,072	2.76
(46) Vermont	727	468,596	0.24
(47) Washington	5,002	4,616,060	2.36
(48) Wisconsin	5,443	3,155,158	1.62
(49) West Virginia	3,496	1,201,043	0.62
(50) Wyoming	535	258,614	0.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

LVA. How interested are you in the election on November 6th in [STATE]?			
LVA	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Extremely interested	77,088	83,479,289	42.74
(2) Very interested	32,650	43,886,428	22.47
(3) Somewhat interested	19,179	38,262,430	19.59
(4) Only a little interested	5,456	14,591,719	7.47
(5) Not at all interested	4,391	14,784,758	7.57
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	165	294,508	0.15
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

LVB. How likely are you to vote in the election?			
LVB	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Definitely will vote	82,257	90,557,679	46.37
(2) Probably will vote	17,405	40,623,321	20.80
(3) Probably will not vote	6,977	22,685,035	11.62
(4) Definitely will not vote	2,433	9,218,245	4.72
(5) I already voted	29,831	32,155,524	16.46
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	26	59,329	0.03
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

LV. Please indicate how likely it is that you will vote in this election.			
LV	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(0) 0-Certain will not vote	3,409	12,695,269	6.50
(1) 1	985	3,179,449	1.63
(2) 2	1,423	4,535,811	2.32
(3) 3	1,626	5,184,163	2.65
(4) 4	1,447	4,627,237	2.37
(5) 5	3,953	13,156,715	6.74
(6) 6	2,270	7,127,095	3.65
(7) 7	4,111	12,679,239	6.49
(8) 8	6,064	10,002,103	5.12
(9) 9	7,740	10,525,188	5.39
(10) 10-Certain will vote	76,053	79,372,262	40.64
(12) Already voted	29,834	32,157,893	16.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	14	56,707	0.03
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

LIKELYVOTER. Likely Voter Model			
LIKELYVOTER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Likely voter	116,792	122,113,896	62.53
(2) Nonvoter	22,137	73,185,237	37.47
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

WVA. Did you vote at a polling place today, or did you vote by mail or in person at some point before Election Day?			
WVA	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,693	190,288,741	97.43
(1) I voted at a polling place today	1,920	2,145,159	1.10
(2) I voted by mail or in person before election day	2,315	2,863,467	1.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1	1,766	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

WVB. If you do vote in this election, which best describes how you plan to cast your ballot?			
WVB	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	44,394	61,567,384	31.52
(1) I will vote at a polling place on election day	75,390	103,790,437	53.14
(2) I will vote before election day by mailing in my ballot	18,910	29,382,990	15.05
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	235	558,322	0.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TIMEVOTE. Advance vote			
TIMEVOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	10,942	22,844,410	11.70
(1) Election Day	77,310	105,935,596	54.24
(2) Early/Absentee	50,677	66,519,126	34.06
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE1_PARTY. Race 1 party vote			
RACE1_PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	33,063	66,205,883	33.90
(1) Democrat	59,626	69,020,281	35.34
(2) Republican	37,608	45,064,467	23.07
(3) Independent	1,262	1,039,697	0.53
(4) Libertarian	732	904,677	0.46
(5) Unaffiliated	260	234,029	0.12
(6) Other	6,126	12,634,859	6.47
(7) Conservative	0	0	0.00
(8) None of these candidates	252	195,239	0.10
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE1_RACEID. Race 1 race ID			
RACE1_RACEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	26,523	51,336,064	26.29
(4021) Senate General Election	4,682	3,992,808	2.04
(8362) Senate General Election	1,049	700,288	0.36
(8619) Senate General Election	4,887	18,398,175	9.42
(9145) Senate General Election	1,039	2,195,291	1.12
(10005) Senate General Election	4,805	13,411,893	6.87
(12771) Senate General Election	980	708,240	0.36
(15004) Senate General Election	4,828	4,366,279	2.24
(20978) Senate General Election	1,022	968,264	0.50
(22452) Senate General Election	4,663	4,077,637	2.09
(24004) Senate General Election	5,021	3,226,407	1.65
(24012) Senate General Election	4,677	4,267,769	2.19
(24453) Senate General Election	4,796	7,156,620	3.66
(25602) Senate General Election	3,889	1,902,896	0.97
(27022) Senate General Election	4,727	4,053,979	2.08
(28089) Senate General Election	2,933	654,536	0.34
(28944) Senate General Election	1,063	1,212,421	0.62
(29866) Senate General Election	4,080	1,663,105	0.85
(31545) Senate General Election	4,615	5,611,296	2.87
(33510) Senate General Election	1,003	1,214,118	0.62
(35003) Senate General Election	1,256	353,420	0.18
(37213) Senate General Election	4,744	7,785,349	3.99
(39241) Senate General Election	4,920	11,689,244	5.99
(40100) Senate General Election	4,923	8,099,590	4.15
(41006) Senate General Election	1,012	735,692	0.38
(43439) Senate General Election	4,720	3,901,423	2.00
(45870) Senate General Election	4,959	15,038,169	7.70

(46760) Senate General Election	727	468,596	0.24
(47806) Senate General Election	4,874	5,391,072	2.76
(48527) Senate General Election	1,036	1,487,616	0.76
(49106) Senate General Election	5,002	4,616,060	2.36
(49607) Senate General Election	3,496	1,201,043	0.62
(50807) Senate General Election	5,443	3,155,158	1.62
(51732) Senate General Election	535	258,614	0.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE1_OFFICEID. Race 1 office ID			
RACE1_OFFICEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	33,063	66,205,883	33.90
(S) Senate race 1	105,866	129,093,249	66.10
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE1_RACETYPEID. Race 1 race type ID			
RACE1_RACETYPEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	33,063	66,205,883	33.90
(G) General Election	105,866	129,093,249	66.10
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE2_PARTY. Race 2 party vote			
RACE2_PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	130,440	190,630,228	97.61
(1) Democrat	2,840	1,540,372	0.79
(2) Republican	1,663	1,227,767	0.63
(3) Independent	0	0	0.00
(4) Libertarian	0	0	0.00
(5) Unaffiliated	3,352	1,474,338	0.75
(6) Other	634	426,428	0.22
(7) Conservative	0	0	0.00
(8) None of these candidates	0	0	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE2_RACEID. Race 2 race ID			
RACE2_RACEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	130,019	190,169,829	97.37
(25151) Senate Special General Election	5,021	3,226,407	1.65
(27182) Senate Special General Election	3,889	1,902,896	0.97
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE2_OFFICEID. Race 2 office ID			
RACE2_OFFICEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	130,440	190,630,228	97.61
(S2) Senate race 2	8,489	4,668,904	2.39
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE2_RACETYPEID. Race 2 race type ID			
RACE2_RACETYPEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	130,440	190,630,228	97.61
(G) General Election	8,489	4,668,904	2.39
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE3_PARTY. Race 3 party vote			
RACE3_PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	46,285	57,380,260	29.38
(1) Democrat	46,658	64,246,491	32.90
(2) Republican	39,629	60,132,829	30.79
(3) Independent	600	317,882	0.16
(4) Libertarian	645	1,048,181	0.54
(5) Unaffiliated	90	60,558	0.03
(6) Other	4,769	11,423,220	5.85
(7) Conservative	48	532,981	0.27
(8) None of these candidates	205	156,730	0.08
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE3_RACEID. Race 3 race ID			
RACE3_RACEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	40,290	43,290,414	22.17
(1019) Governor General Election	1,068	3,162,498	1.62
(2010) Governor General Election	478	528,354	0.27
(3014) Governor General Election	4,682	3,992,808	2.04
(5626) Governor General Election	1,038	1,664,066	0.85
(6123) Governor General Election	4,887	18,398,175	9.42
(6619) Governor General Election	1,148	3,843,577	1.97
(7019) Governor General Election	1,039	2,195,291	1.12
(10045) Governor General Election	4,805	13,411,893	6.87
(12029) Governor General Election	4,729	6,525,534	3.34
(12480) Governor General Election	980	708,240	0.36
(13676) Governor General Election	1,049	830,162	0.43
(15989) Governor General Election	1,257	8,178,731	4.19

(17076) Governor General Election	1,079	2,067,778	1.06
(17559) Governor General Election	4,778	1,731,013	0.89
(20646) Governor General Election	1,022	968,264	0.50
(22091) Governor General Election	4,663	4,077,637	2.09
(22957) Governor General Election	4,677	4,267,769	2.19
(24074) Governor General Election	4,796	7,156,620	3.66
(24704) Governor General Election	5,021	3,226,407	1.65
(28006) Governor General Election	1,063	1,212,421	0.62
(29861) Governor General Election	4,080	1,663,105	0.85
(30005) Governor General Election	3,287	898,728	0.46
(32238) Governor General Election	1,003	1,214,118	0.62
(36005) Governor General Election	4,744	7,785,349	3.99
(37857) Governor General Election	1,051	2,017,247	1.03
(38019) Governor General Election	1,072	3,049,205	1.56
(39292) Governor General Election	4,923	8,099,590	4.15
(39760) Governor General Election	4,920	11,689,244	5.99
(40005) Governor General Election	1,012	735,692	0.38
(41191) Governor General Election	1,052	3,259,721	1.67
(42222) Governor General Election	852	627,522	0.32
(43440) Governor General Election	4,720	3,901,423	2.00
(45901) Governor General Election	4,959	15,038,169	7.70
(46375) Governor General Election	727	468,596	0.24
(50016) Governor General Election	5,443	3,155,158	1.62
(51403) Governor General Election	535	258,614	0.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE3_OFFICEID. Race 3 office ID			
RACE3_OFFICEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	46,285	57,380,260	29.38
(G) Governor	92,644	137,918,872	70.62
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE3_RACETYPEID. Race 3 race type ID			
RACE3_RACETYPEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	46,285	57,380,260	29.38
(G) General Election	92,644	137,918,872	70.62
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE4_PARTY. Race 4 party vote			
RACE4_PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	126,700	189,143,973	96.85
(1) Democrat	6,284	2,641,343	1.35
(2) Republican	4,820	2,759,614	1.41
(3) Independent	0	0	0.00
(4) Libertarian	0	0	0.00
(5) Unaffiliated	224	187,015	0.10
(6) Other	901	567,186	0.29
(7) Conservative	0	0	0.00
(8) None of these candidates	0	0	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE4_RACEID. Race 4 race ID			
RACE4_RACEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	126,078	188,481,394	96.51
(2015) House of Representatives General Election	478	528,354	0.27
(8074) House of Representatives General Election	1,049	700,288	0.36
(24793) Attorney General General Election	5,021	3,226,407	1.65
(28447) House of Representatives General Election	2,933	654,536	0.34
(35342) House of Representatives General Election	1,256	353,420	0.18
(42005) House of Representatives General Election	852	627,522	0.32
(46374) House of Representatives General Election	727	468,596	0.24
(51401) House of Representatives General Election	535	258,614	0.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE4_OFFICEID. Race 4 office ID			
RACE4_OFFICEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	126,700	189,143,973	96.85
(A) Attorney General	4,744	2,934,571	1.50
(H) House of Representatives	7,485	3,220,588	1.65
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE4_RACETYPEID. Race 4 race type ID			
RACE4_RACETYPEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	126,700	189,143,973	96.85
(G) General Election	12,229	6,155,159	3.15
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE5_VOTE. Race 5 candidate vote – Generic House Ballot for all states			
RACE5_VOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	3,810	14,035,672	7.19
(100008) Another candidate	8,444	16,189,868	8.29
(99991) The Democratic Candidate	72,893	88,253,594	45.19
(99992) The Republican Candidate	53,782	76,819,998	39.33
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE5_PARTY. Race 5 party vote			
RACE5_PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	3,810	14,035,672	7.19
(1) Democrat	72,893	88,253,594	45.19
(2) Republican	53,782	76,819,998	39.33
(6) Other	8,444	16,189,868	8.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE5_RACEID. Race 5 race ID			
RACE5_RACEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(888888) House of Representatives General Election	138,929	195,299,132	100.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE5_OFFICEID. Race 5 office ID			
RACE5_OFFICEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	3,810	14,035,672	7.19
(H) House of Representatives	135,119	181,263,461	92.81
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACE5_RACETYPEID. Race 5 race type ID			
RACE5_RACETYPEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	3,810	14,035,672	7.19
(G) General Election	135,119	181,263,461	92.81
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BALLOT1_VOTE. Ballot 1 candidate vote			
BALLOT1_VOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	129,781	178,860,176	91.58
(2) Yes	5,959	11,345,101	5.81
(3) No	3,189	5,093,856	2.61
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BALLOT1_PARTY. Ballot 1 party vote			
BALLOT1_PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	129,781	178,860,176	91.58
(10) Yes	5,959	11,345,101	5.81
(11) No	3,189	5,093,856	2.61
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BALLOT1_RACEID. Ballot 1 race ID			
BALLOT1_RACEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	128,072	174,377,199	89.29
(11866) Ballot Initiative General Election	4,805	13,411,893	6.87
(24603) Ballot Initiative General Election	4,796	7,156,620	3.66
(35752) Ballot Initiative General Election	1,256	353,420	0.18
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BALLOT1_OFFICEID. Ballot 1 office ID			
BALLOT1_OFFICEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	129,781	178,860,176	91.58
(I) Ballot initiative	9,148	16,438,957	8.42
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BALLOT1_RACETYPEID. Ballot 1 race type ID			
BALLOT1_RACETYPEID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
	129,781	178,860,176	91.58
(G) General Election	9,148	16,438,957	8.42
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SENVOTE. Senate vote			
SENVOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	33,063	66,205,883	33.90
(1) Democratic candidate for Senate	59,626	69,020,281	35.34
(2) Republican candidate for Senate	37,608	45,064,467	23.07
(3) Independent candidate for Senate	1,262	1,039,697	0.53
(4) Another candidate	7,370	13,968,803	7.15
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SENSPVOTE. Senate special vote			
SENSPVOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	130,440	190,630,228	97.61
(1) Democratic candidate for Senate special	2,840	1,540,372	0.79
(2) Republican candidate for Senate special	1,663	1,227,767	0.63
(3) Independent candidate for Senate special	-	-	-
(4) Another candidate	3,986	1,900,766	0.97
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GOVVOTE. Governor vote			
GOVVOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	46,285	57,380,260	29.38
(1) Democratic candidate for Governor	46,658	64,246,491	32.90
(2) Republican candidate for Governor	39,629	60,132,829	30.79
(3) Independent candidate for Governor	600	317,882	0.16
(4) Another candidate	5,757	13,221,670	6.77
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MAINECHOICE2. Maine Senate vote, second choice			
MAINECHOICE2	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,987	194,417,271	99.55
(1) Zak Ringelstein	358	296,517	0.15
(2) Eric Brakey	134	141,129	0.07
(3) Angus King	163	145,182	0.07
(4) Another candidate	286	297,221	0.15
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1	1,813	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRACK. Direction of the country			
TRACK	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Right direction	52,468	77,611,378	39.74
(2) Wrong direction	85,111	115,179,320	58.98
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,350	2,508,434	1.28
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ISSUES. Most important issue facing the country			
ISSUES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) The economy and jobs	25,801	39,575,134	20.26
(2) Health care	39,046	47,733,068	24.44
(3) Immigration	27,396	39,561,202	20.26
(4) Taxes	4,943	8,924,040	4.57
(5) Abortion	2,732	4,231,834	2.17
(6) Gun policy	11,126	16,558,772	8.48
(7) The environment	11,417	13,944,599	7.14
(8) Foreign policy	7,269	9,317,454	4.77
(9) Terrorism	8,424	14,218,120	7.28
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	775	1,234,909	0.63
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

LIFE. Life for the next generation of Americans will be			
LIFE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Better than life today	8,530	15,129,851	7.75
(2) Worse than life today	21,010	31,336,456	16.05
(3) About the same	10,155	16,318,628	8.36
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	450	799,060	0.41
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PRESAPP4. Trump job approval with strength			
PRESAPP4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Approve strongly	30,137	42,090,040	21.55
(2) Approve somewhat	26,212	42,635,350	21.83
(3) Disapprove somewhat	16,728	29,358,080	15.03
(4) Disapprove strongly	65,338	80,112,162	41.02
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	514	1,103,500	0.57
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPFACOR3. Was Trump a reason for vote			
TRUMPFACOR3	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	9,436	31,962,609	16.37
(1) Voted to express support for Trump	28,781	40,085,345	20.53
(2) Voted to express opposition for Trump	53,833	60,533,799	31.00
(3) Trump was not a factor	46,079	61,419,762	31.45
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	800	1,297,619	0.66
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPTRAITSTEMPERAMENT. Trump has the right temperament to serve effectively as president			
TRUMPTRAITSTEMPERAMENT	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Yes	11,800	21,268,605	10.89
(2) No	27,795	41,445,068	21.22
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	550	870,324	0.45
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPTRAITSCHANGE. Trump is bringing needed change to the government			
TRUMPTRAITSCHANGE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Yes	17,694	30,295,835	15.51
(2) No	22,089	32,632,017	16.71
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	362	656,144	0.34
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPTRAITSLEADER. Trump is a strong leader			
TRUMPTRAITSLEADER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Yes	17,343	29,920,852	15.32
(2) No	22,435	33,105,053	16.95
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	367	558,091	0.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPTRAITSHONEST. Trump is honest and trustworthy			
TRUMPTRAITSHONEST	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Yes	12,104	21,204,821	10.86
(2) No	27,383	41,430,079	21.21
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	658	949,097	0.49
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPTRAITS CARES. Trump cares about people like you			
TRUMPTRAITS CARES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Yes	13,941	23,523,522	12.04
(2) No	25,740	39,323,866	20.14
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	464	736,608	0.38
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPTRAITSSTANDSUP. Trump stands up for what he believes in			
TRUMPTRAITSSTANDSUP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Yes	27,532	45,044,251	23.06
(2) No	12,159	17,916,071	9.17
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	454	623,673	0.32
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPJOBECON. Approval of Trump on economy			
TRUMPJOBECON	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve	19,923	33,130,496	16.96
(2) Disapprove	19,542	29,204,088	14.95
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	624	1,033,686	0.53
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPJOBHEALTH. Approval of Trump on health care			
TRUMPJOBHEALTH	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve	14,603	25,465,991	13.04
(2) Disapprove	24,656	36,446,422	18.66
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	830	1,455,858	0.75
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPJOBIMMIG. Approval of Trump on immigration			
TRUMPJOBIMMIG	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve	15,983	27,514,201	14.09
(2) Disapprove	23,658	35,059,684	17.95
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	448	794,386	0.41
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPJOBTRADE. Approval of Trump on international trade			
TRUMPJOBTRADE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve	16,208	27,906,253	14.29
(2) Disapprove	23,201	34,165,894	17.49
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	680	1,296,123	0.66
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPJOBSCOTUS. Approval of Trump on Supreme Court nominations			
TRUMPJOBSCOTUS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve	17,042	28,966,866	14.83
(2) Disapprove	22,525	33,293,057	17.05
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	522	1,108,348	0.57
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUMPJOBORDER. Approval of Trump on border security			
TRUMPJOBORDER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve	17,627	30,490,420	15.61
(2) Disapprove	22,021	32,027,938	16.40
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	441	849,913	0.44
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVTRUMP. Opinion of Donald Trump			
FAVTRUMP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,818	131,790,145	67.48
(1) Very favorable	8,892	14,198,499	7.27
(2) Somewhat favorable	6,612	11,725,712	6.00
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	3,721	7,355,535	3.77
(4) Very unfavorable	19,460	26,318,627	13.48
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,426	3,910,614	2.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVREP. Opinion of the Republican Party			
FAVREP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,818	131,790,145	67.48
(1) Very favorable	6,039	9,803,996	5.02
(2) Somewhat favorable	9,355	15,785,392	8.08
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	7,220	11,282,779	5.78
(4) Very unfavorable	14,736	18,898,529	9.68
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2,761	7,738,291	3.96
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVDEM. Opinion of the Democratic Party			
FAVDEM	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,818	131,790,145	67.48
(1) Very favorable	6,259	9,186,818	4.70
(2) Somewhat favorable	12,364	16,286,534	8.34
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	7,307	12,285,692	6.29
(4) Very unfavorable	11,444	18,099,043	9.27
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2,737	7,650,901	3.92
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVSENDEM. Opinion of Democratic candidate for Senate			
FAVSENDEM	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	34,605	74,272,636	38.03
(1) Very favorable	30,211	27,789,524	14.23
(2) Somewhat favorable	24,570	26,496,889	13.57
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	13,384	16,139,833	8.26
(4) Very unfavorable	21,805	24,957,151	12.78
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	14,354	25,643,099	13.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVSENREP. Opinion of Republican candidate for Senate			
FAVSENREP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	34,605	74,272,636	38.03
(1) Very favorable	15,651	18,591,291	9.52
(2) Somewhat favorable	21,050	25,025,413	12.81
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	14,797	16,382,059	8.39
(4) Very unfavorable	27,332	23,832,141	12.20
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	25,494	37,195,592	19.05
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVSENIND. Opinion of Independent candidate for Senate			
FAVSENIND	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,932	194,345,998	99.51
(1) Very favorable	401	350,495	0.18
(2) Somewhat favorable	246	220,563	0.11
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	115	108,974	0.06
(4) Very unfavorable	138	156,767	0.08
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	97	116,335	0.06
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVGOVDEM. Opinion of Democratic candidate for governor			
FAVGOVDEM	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	44,157	48,604,803	24.89
(1) Very favorable	22,499	28,920,203	14.81
(2) Somewhat favorable	24,364	34,255,311	17.54
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	12,605	19,024,396	9.74
(4) Very unfavorable	17,507	27,091,033	13.87
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	17,797	37,403,386	19.15
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVGOVREP. Opinion of Republican candidate for governor			
FAVGOVREP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	44,157	48,604,803	24.89
(1) Very favorable	19,924	27,479,977	14.07
(2) Somewhat favorable	22,213	34,427,546	17.63
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	15,272	21,880,939	11.20
(4) Very unfavorable	22,291	27,545,442	14.10
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	15,072	35,360,425	18.11
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVGOVIND. Opinion of Independent candidate for governor			
FAVGOVIND	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,478	193,612,477	99.14
(1) Very favorable	198	258,447	0.13
(2) Somewhat favorable	380	377,953	0.19
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	294	309,902	0.16
(4) Very unfavorable	324	317,476	0.16
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	255	422,877	0.22
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVFEINSTEIN. Opinion of Feinstein (Democrat, CA)			
FAVFEINSTEIN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,543	177,550,627	90.91
(1) Very favorable	1,119	3,873,933	1.98
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,231	4,844,848	2.48
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	608	2,525,890	1.29
(4) Very unfavorable	964	3,682,341	1.89
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	464	2,821,493	1.44
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVDELEON. Opinion of DeLeon (Democrat, CA)			
FAVDELEON	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,543	177,550,627	90.91
(1) Very favorable	482	1,886,635	0.97
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,283	4,778,239	2.45
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	589	2,118,197	1.08
(4) Very unfavorable	583	2,175,169	1.11
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,449	6,790,265	3.48
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVSENSPECIALDEM. Opinion of Smith (Democrat, MN Special)			
FAVSENSPECIALDEM	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,016	192,216,656	98.42
(1) Very favorable	1,176	604,293	0.31
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,451	826,107	0.42
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	601	419,556	0.21
(4) Very unfavorable	704	507,803	0.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	981	724,717	0.37
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVSENSPECIALREP. Opinion of Housley (Republican, MN Special)			
FAVSENSPECIALREP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,016	192,216,656	98.42
(1) Very favorable	655	465,867	0.24
(2) Somewhat favorable	890	636,666	0.33
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	755	402,863	0.21
(4) Very unfavorable	1,031	514,998	0.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,582	1,062,083	0.54
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVESPY. Opinion of Espy (Democrat, MS Special)			
FAVESPY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,065	193,442,228	99.05
(1) Very favorable	948	330,022	0.17
(2) Somewhat favorable	710	301,085	0.15
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	448	213,597	0.11
(4) Very unfavorable	592	289,104	0.15
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,166	723,096	0.37
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVHYDESMITH. Opinion of Hyde-Smith (Republican, MS Special)			
FAVHYDESMITH	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,065	193,442,228	99.05
(1) Very favorable	693	306,013	0.16
(2) Somewhat favorable	768	368,251	0.19
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	489	202,893	0.10
(4) Very unfavorable	887	312,159	0.16
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,027	667,589	0.34
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVMCDANIEL. Opinion of McDaniel (Republican, MS Special)			
FAVMCDANIEL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,065	193,442,228	99.05
(1) Very favorable	416	176,815	0.09
(2) Somewhat favorable	673	299,662	0.15
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	529	233,409	0.12
(4) Very unfavorable	804	307,940	0.16
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,442	839,079	0.43
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SUPREMECOURT. Importance of debate over Kavanaugh's confirmation to vote			
SUPREMECOURT	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	97,874	131,532,986	67.35
(1) Very important	18,280	23,510,570	12.04
(2) Somewhat important	11,482	18,400,010	9.42
(3) Not very important	6,807	12,712,997	6.51
(4) Not at all important	4,189	8,390,648	4.30
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	297	751,921	0.39
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PARTYCONTROL. Importance of party control to vote for Senate			
PARTYCONTROL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	37,395	78,718,620	40.31
(1) Very important	67,560	72,459,279	37.10
(2) Somewhat important	23,837	30,560,564	15.65
(3) Not too important	7,001	9,247,164	4.73
(4) Not at all important	2,853	3,818,224	1.96
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	283	495,281	0.25
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CONGRESSJOB4. Congress job approval with strength			
CONGRESSJOB4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Approve strongly	1,119	2,563,810	1.31
(2) Approve somewhat	8,485	16,001,132	8.19
(3) Disapprove somewhat	14,288	23,385,780	11.97
(4) Disapprove strongly	15,710	20,293,921	10.39
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	543	1,339,353	0.69
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRUSTGOVT. Trust government to do what's right			
TRUSTGOVT	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) Just about always	813	1,903,674	0.97
(2) Most of the time	6,004	10,575,773	5.42
(3) Only some of the time	26,181	37,387,366	19.14
(4) Never	6,959	12,552,384	6.43
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	264	565,042	0.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ETHICS. Trump administration ethics compared with previous administrations			
ETHICS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) More ethical	8,299	14,669,250	7.51
(2) Less ethical	22,479	31,645,870	16.20
(3) About the same	9,102	16,632,720	8.52
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	265	636,156	0.33
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GOVTANGR. Feelings toward federal government			
GOVTANGR	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Enthusiastic	1,523	2,954,198	1.51
(2) Satisfied, but not enthusiastic	8,459	15,748,692	8.06
(3) Dissatisfied, but not angry	18,277	29,146,591	14.92
(4) Angry	11,592	15,091,533	7.73
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	294	642,983	0.33
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GOVTDO. Views of government's role			
GOVTDO	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Government should do more to solve problems	21,316	34,041,890	17.43
(2) Government doing too many things better left to business and individuals	18,064	28,260,397	14.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	765	1,281,709	0.66
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

NEC. Condition of national economy			
NEC	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Excellent	3,470	5,779,418	2.96
(2) Good	20,826	30,735,594	15.74
(3) Not so good	13,021	21,818,886	11.17
(4) Poor	2,579	4,670,487	2.39
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	193	363,885	0.19
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GETAHEAD. Family's financial situation			
GETAHEAD	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Getting ahead	23,953	33,310,773	17.06
(2) Holding steady	88,077	119,658,465	61.27
(3) Falling behind	26,508	41,644,471	21.32
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	391	685,423	0.35
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRADENATIONALECON. Impact of Trump admin's trade policies on national economy			
TRADENATIONALECON	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Help	49,517	70,550,203	36.12
(2) Hurt	72,034	91,265,847	46.73
(3) No difference	15,919	30,961,103	15.85
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,459	2,521,980	1.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRADELOCALECON. Impact of Trump admin's trade policies on local economy			
TRADELOCALECON	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Help	38,740	56,210,657	28.78
(2) Hurt	62,638	78,486,993	40.19
(3) No difference	36,154	58,137,190	29.77
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,397	2,464,293	1.26
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ECONFAIRWEALTHY. How the economic system treats the wealthy			
ECONFAIRWEALTHY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Favors too much	29,932	45,604,581	23.35
(2) Does not favor enough	1,401	3,571,854	1.83
(3) Treats about right	8,401	13,557,746	6.94
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	355	634,089	0.32
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ECONFAIRMIDDLE. How the economic system treats the middle class			
ECONFAIRMIDDLE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Favors too much	1,445	3,793,268	1.94
(2) Does not favor enough	28,738	42,536,656	21.78
(3) Treats about right	9,546	16,392,368	8.39
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	360	645,979	0.33
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ECONFAIRPOOR. How the economic system treats the poor			
ECONFAIRPOOR	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Favors too much	5,005	8,023,641	4.11
(2) Does not favor enough	26,842	42,253,919	21.64
(3) Treats about right	7,843	12,406,571	6.35
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	399	684,140	0.35
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TAXCUTS. Opinion of 2017 tax law			
TAXCUTS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Approve strongly	5,159	8,179,670	4.19
(2) Approve somewhat	12,179	19,980,651	10.23
(3) Disapprove somewhat	11,094	19,605,910	10.04
(4) Disapprove strongly	10,057	12,817,687	6.56
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,600	2,784,352	1.43
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

HEALTHLAW. Views of the Affordable Care Act			
HEALTHLAW	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Repeal the law entirely	30,787	45,576,690	23.34
(2) Repeal parts of the law	37,350	53,968,398	27.63
(3) Leave the law as is	19,192	34,274,862	17.55
(4) Expand the law	50,409	59,341,229	30.38
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,191	2,137,953	1.09
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

HEALTHGOV. Government responsibility to provide healthcare			
HEALTHGOV	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	97,969	131,396,264	67.28
(1) Government should be responsible	25,410	38,999,612	19.97
(2) Government should not be responsible	15,179	24,282,691	12.43
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	371	620,566	0.32
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

IMMDEPORT. Immigration policy - immigrants living in the U.S. illegally			
IMMDEPORT	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Offered a chance to apply for legal status	99,639	133,800,203	68.51
(2) Deported to the country they came from	37,554	58,532,910	29.97
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,736	2,966,019	1.52
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

IMMBETTER. Views of immigrants in the U.S.			
IMMBETTER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	97,371	128,439,378	65.77
(1) Do more to help the country	25,533	37,636,254	19.27
(2) Do more to hurt the country	14,919	27,489,442	14.08
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,106	1,734,058	0.89
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

IMMWALL. U.S.-Mexico border wall			
IMMWALL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Strongly favor	9,878	16,465,410	8.43
(2) Somewhat favor	6,949	12,858,500	6.58
(3) Somewhat oppose	5,952	10,583,979	5.42
(4) Strongly oppose	17,050	22,897,327	11.72
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	260	563,056	0.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACEREL. Advantages of blacks and whites in US society			
RACEREL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Whites have more advantages than blacks	18,992	26,858,931	13.75
(2) Blacks have more advantages than whites	5,367	10,111,176	5.18
(3) Neither has much advantage over the other	15,389	25,883,088	13.25
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	397	730,800	0.37
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CLIMATE. Concern over effects of climate change			
CLIMATE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,104	131,284,851	67.22
(1) Very concerned	18,792	25,710,119	13.16
(2) Somewhat concerned	11,472	19,712,090	10.09
(3) Not too concerned	6,560	11,725,607	6.00
(4) Not at all concerned	3,657	6,192,698	3.17
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	344	673,767	0.35
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GUNPOLICY. Views of gun laws			
GUNPOLICY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	97,419	131,511,258	67.34
(1) Should be more strict	26,361	38,033,686	19.47
(2) Should be less strict	3,217	5,931,899	3.04
(3) Should be kept as they are	11,686	19,335,797	9.90
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	246	486,493	0.25
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ABORTION. Views on abortion			
ABORTION	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,816	131,792,445	67.48
(1) Legal in all cases	10,661	15,251,214	7.81
(2) Legal in most cases	14,753	22,027,918	11.28
(3) Illegal in most cases	9,973	17,036,262	8.72
(4) Illegal in all cases	4,203	8,326,210	4.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	523	865,082	0.44
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

METOOBELIEVE. Concern about women not being believed when they make allegations of sexual misconduct			
METOOBELIEVE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,816	131,792,445	67.48
(1) Very concerned	18,583	25,909,417	13.27
(2) Somewhat concerned	13,771	22,839,010	11.69
(3) Not too concerned	5,457	9,853,264	5.05
(4) Not at all concerned	2,045	4,401,964	2.25
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	257	503,032	0.26
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

METOODEFEND. Concern about men not being given the opportunity to defend themselves against allegations of sexual misconduct			
METOODEFEND	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,816	131,792,445	67.48
(1) Very concerned	13,832	22,405,574	11.47
(2) Somewhat concerned	15,020	24,152,791	12.37
(3) Not too concerned	7,821	11,349,919	5.81
(4) Not at all concerned	3,190	5,068,078	2.60
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	250	530,325	0.27
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PCSPEECH. Too much pressure to be politically correct these days			
PCSPEECH	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Pressure to be politically correct has gone too far these days	25,638	41,922,988	21.47
(2) There's not too much pressure these days to be politically correct	13,930	20,758,112	10.63
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	577	902,896	0.46
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MARIJUANA. Should use of marijuana be legal nationwide			
MARIJUANA	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,058	130,572,896	66.86
(1) Yes, legal	26,249	41,598,022	21.30
(2) No	14,193	22,351,041	11.44
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	429	777,174	0.40
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

OPIOID. Concern about the use of opioids in your community			
OPIOID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,784	131,715,136	67.44
(1) Very concerned	19,273	29,007,924	14.85
(2) Somewhat concerned	13,541	21,038,806	10.77
(3) Not too concerned	5,353	9,401,853	4.81
(4) Not at all concerned	1,807	3,757,535	1.92
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	171	377,878	0.19
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SAFETERROR. Trump administration impact on U.S. safety - terrorism			
SAFETERROR	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) Made the U.S. safer	13,046	21,118,978	10.81
(2) Made the U.S. less safe	16,163	22,428,909	11.48
(3) Hasn't made much difference	10,745	18,837,514	9.65
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	267	598,838	0.31
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SAFECRIME. Trump administration impact on U.S. safety - crime			
SAFECRIME	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) Made the U.S. safer	8,820	13,994,263	7.17
(2) Made the U.S. less safe	15,997	22,346,025	11.44
(3) Hasn't made much difference	15,086	26,052,832	13.34
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	318	591,119	0.30
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SAFECYBER. Trump administration impact on U.S. safety - cyberattacks			
SAFECYBER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) Made the U.S. safer	7,455	11,880,336	6.08
(2) Made the U.S. less safe	16,203	22,025,994	11.28
(3) Hasn't made much difference	15,979	27,914,682	14.29
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	584	1,163,226	0.60
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RUSSIA. Do you think the Trump campaign coordinated with the Russian government during the 2016 presidential election?			
RUSSIA	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Yes	72,134	94,383,549	48.33
(2) No	64,148	96,637,597	49.48
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2,647	4,277,986	2.19
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

INTERFERENCE. Concerns about foreign government interference impacting the outcome of the 2018 midterms			
INTERFERENCE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,840	131,930,862	67.55
(1) Very concerned	11,482	15,900,085	8.14
(2) Somewhat concerned	13,096	20,651,416	10.57
(3) Not too concerned	10,289	17,377,746	8.90
(4) Not at all concerned	4,936	8,877,836	4.55
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	286	561,189	0.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

Q2020VOTE. 2020 vote choice			
Q2020VOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) Donald Trump, the Republican	11,116	18,196,761	9.32
(2) The Democratic candidate	18,231	23,200,074	11.88
(3) It depends	10,041	18,435,924	9.44
(4) Would not vote	619	2,681,327	1.37
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	214	470,153	0.24
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

REPINTENTIONS. Intentions of Republicans			
REPINTENTIONS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) They mostly try to do what's best for the country, even if they don't always get it right	15,945	27,211,723	13.93
(2) They mostly try to do what's best for their party, even when it's bad for the country	23,494	34,229,154	17.53
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	782	1,543,361	0.79
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

DEMINTENTIONS. Intentions of Democrats			
DEMINTENTIONS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,708	132,314,894	67.75
(1) They mostly try to do what's best for the country, even if they don't always get it right	20,752	29,957,437	15.34
(2) They mostly try to do what's best for their party, even when it's bad for the country	18,673	31,397,247	16.08
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	796	1,629,555	0.83
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ATTENDANCE. Church attendance			
ATTENDANCE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,817	131,792,684	67.48
(1) Never	11,783	19,670,853	10.07
(2) A few times a year or less	12,856	20,770,105	10.64
(3) About once a month	2,387	3,769,431	1.93
(4) A few times a month	3,891	5,834,686	2.99
(5) Once a week or more	8,985	13,122,473	6.72
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	210	338,899	0.17
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MARRIED: Marital status			
MARRIED	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,817	131,792,684	67.48
(1) Single/Never married	9,377	17,974,758	9.20
(2) Married	21,135	32,302,774	16.54
(3) Separated	614	1,322,492	0.68
(4) Divorced	4,845	6,522,336	3.34
(5) Widowed	2,005	2,791,755	1.43
(88) REMOVED FOR DISCLOSURE RISK	2,044	2,465,504	1.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	92	126,829	0.06
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MARRIED2: Marital status, 2 categories			
MARRIED2	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,817	131,792,684	67.48
(1) Married	22,363	33,736,306	17.27
(2) Not married	17,654	29,639,027	15.18
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	95	131,115	0.07
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GUNOWNER. Gun owner			
GUNOWNER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	97,423	129,243,904	66.18
(1) Gun owner, self	11,386	18,384,404	9.41
(2) Gun in household	6,208	9,789,405	5.01
(3) No	23,427	37,216,967	19.06
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	485	664,452	0.34
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

UNION. Union member			
UNION	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	71,174	98,091,367	50.23
(1) Union member, self	6,205	9,752,523	4.99
(2) Union member in household	4,791	7,506,670	3.84
(3) No	56,552	79,532,884	40.72
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	207	415,688	0.21
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

VET. Veteran			
VET	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	89,541	125,005,794	64.01
(1) Veteran, self	6,238	8,979,577	4.60
(2) Veteran in household	8,730	11,792,934	6.04
(3) No	34,363	49,408,272	25.30
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	57	112,556	0.06
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

LGB. Lesbian, gay, bisexual identification			
LGB	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,817	131,792,684	67.48
(1) Yes	2,727	4,321,300	2.21
(2) No	37,210	58,875,231	30.15
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	175	309,917	0.16
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRANSGENDER. Transgender identification			
TRANSGENDER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,817	131,792,684	67.48
(1) Yes	358	950,301	0.49
(2) No	36,656	57,335,826	29.36
(88) REMOVED FOR DISCLOSURE RISK	2,795	4,747,591	2.43
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	303	472,730	0.24
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BORNCITIZEN. Born a citizen, or not			
BORNCITIZEN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	98,839	131,930,623	67.55
(1) Yes	36,577	58,892,073	30.15
(2) No	1,521	2,611,208	1.34
(88) REMOVED FOR DISCLOSURE RISK	1,891	1,723,653	0.88
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	101	141,576	0.07
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GOVJOBKASICH4. Governor job approval - Kasich, 4 categories			
GOVJOBKASICH4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,400	187,824,594	96.17
(1) Approve strongly	625	973,280	0.50
(2) Approve somewhat	2,175	3,449,230	1.77
(3) Disapprove somewhat	1,174	1,948,968	1.00
(4) Disapprove strongly	506	948,317	0.49
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	49	154,744	0.08
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GOVJOBSNYDER4. Governor job approval - Snyder, 4 categories			
GOVJOBSNYDER4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,336	188,389,883	96.46
(1) Approve strongly	598	765,177	0.39
(2) Approve somewhat	1,470	2,392,110	1.22
(3) Disapprove somewhat	1,239	1,851,475	0.95
(4) Disapprove strongly	1,254	1,788,421	0.92
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	32	112,066	0.06
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVSESSIONS. Opinion of Jeff Sessions			
FAVSESSIONS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,920	192,230,307	98.43
(1) Very favorable	139	440,392	0.23
(2) Somewhat favorable	217	620,575	0.32
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	184	521,804	0.27
(4) Very unfavorable	258	604,679	0.31
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	211	881,376	0.45
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVJONES. Opinion of Doug Jones			
FAVJONES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,920	192,230,307	98.43
(1) Very favorable	207	436,290	0.22
(2) Somewhat favorable	201	559,637	0.29
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	140	467,699	0.24
(4) Very unfavorable	227	632,238	0.32
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	234	972,962	0.50
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVPALIN. Opinion of Sarah Palin			
FAVPALIN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	138,452	194,771,350	99.73
(1) Very favorable	49	64,849	0.03
(2) Somewhat favorable	84	98,260	0.05
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	78	89,925	0.05
(4) Very unfavorable	215	188,606	0.10
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	51	86,144	0.04
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVFLAKE. Opinion of Jeff Flake			
FAVFLAKE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,358	191,431,509	98.02
(1) Very favorable	391	308,996	0.16
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,031	693,040	0.35
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	1,046	744,438	0.38
(4) Very unfavorable	1,383	917,520	0.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	720	1,203,629	0.62
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVCOTTON. Opinion of Tom Cotton			
FAVCOTTON	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,916	193,671,071	99.17
(1) Very favorable	217	281,819	0.14
(2) Somewhat favorable	203	303,717	0.16
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	134	268,172	0.14
(4) Very unfavorable	243	264,640	0.14
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	216	509,713	0.26
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVBOOZMAN. Opinion of John Boozman			
FAVBOOZMAN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,916	193,671,071	99.17
(1) Very favorable	172	235,597	0.12
(2) Somewhat favorable	221	295,199	0.15
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	169	256,720	0.13
(4) Very unfavorable	141	151,261	0.08
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	310	689,285	0.35
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVEMANUEL. Opinion of Rahm Emanuel			
FAVEMANUEL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,916	187,362,823	95.94
(1) Very favorable	111	858,828	0.44
(2) Somewhat favorable	234	1,640,180	0.84
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	206	1,697,938	0.87
(4) Very unfavorable	269	1,908,250	0.98
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	193	1,831,114	0.94
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVPENCE. Opinion of Mike Pence			
FAVPENCE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,226	191,128,199	97.86
(1) Very favorable	1,262	1,005,744	0.52
(2) Somewhat favorable	781	831,408	0.43
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	483	444,909	0.23
(4) Very unfavorable	1,764	1,173,302	0.60
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	413	715,571	0.37
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVFRANKEN. Opinion of Al Franken			
FAVFRANKEN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,016	192,216,656	98.42
(1) Very favorable	1,356	750,189	0.38
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,026	567,266	0.29
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	622	379,237	0.19
(4) Very unfavorable	1,262	922,478	0.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	647	463,306	0.24
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FAVINSLEE. Opinion of Jay Inslee			
FAVINSLEE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,049	190,828,626	97.71
(1) Very favorable	1,057	699,149	0.36
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,296	1,031,265	0.53
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	615	583,195	0.30
(4) Very unfavorable	1,048	908,964	0.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	864	1,247,933	0.64
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MEDICAID. Support Medicaid expansion in state			
MEDICAID	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	120,302	164,938,065	84.45
(1) Strongly favor	7,333	11,784,474	6.03
(2) Somewhat favor	5,701	9,276,978	4.75
(3) Somewhat oppose	3,231	5,485,581	2.81
(4) Strongly oppose	2,236	3,569,183	1.83
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	126	244,851	0.13
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SPORTSBETTING. Support legalized betting on sports in state			
SPORTSBETTING	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,920	192,230,307	98.43
(1) Strongly favor	290	829,198	0.42
(2) Somewhat favor	338	1,123,978	0.58
(3) Somewhat oppose	212	650,235	0.33
(4) Strongly oppose	166	462,467	0.24
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	3	2,948	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CASINOS. Approval of legal casino gambling in state			
CASINOS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,916	193,671,071	99.17
(1) Approve strongly	335	552,814	0.28
(2) Approve somewhat	335	525,076	0.27
(3) Disapprove somewhat	150	256,846	0.13
(4) Disapprove strongly	192	293,004	0.15
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1	322	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

JOBSIT. Job situation in state			
JOBSIT	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	131,852	187,952,321	96.24
(1) Better	2,462	2,246,574	1.15
(2) Worse	1,345	1,729,339	0.89
(3) About the same	3,208	3,288,222	1.68
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	62	82,677	0.04
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

AKDIVIDENDS. Oil wealth fund dividend check in Alaska			
AKDIVIDENDS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	138,452	194,771,350	99.73
(1) Dividends should be reduced when Alaska faces a budget deficit	136	115,837	0.06
(2) The dividend amount should be guaranteed even when Alaska faces a budget deficit	339	411,011	0.21
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2	935	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

DEATHPENALTY. Views of death penalty in state			
DEATHPENALTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	136,906	193,026,121	98.84
(1) Strongly favor	684	795,135	0.41
(2) Somewhat favor	777	913,546	0.47
(3) Somewhat oppose	358	363,217	0.19
(4) Strongly oppose	191	183,521	0.09
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	13	17,592	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

AFFHOUSING. Concern over affordable housing availability in state			
AFFHOUSING	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	128,693	172,384,752	88.27
(1) Very concerned	5,752	13,075,972	6.70
(2) Somewhat concerned	3,167	6,615,959	3.39
(3) Not too concerned	994	2,136,497	1.09
(4) Not at all concerned	296	986,521	0.51
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	27	99,431	0.05
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TEACHERSTRIKES. Approval of teacher strikes in state			
TEACHERSTRIKES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	136,912	189,737,038	97.15
(1) Approve strongly	801	2,133,709	1.09
(2) Approve somewhat	621	1,801,800	0.92
(3) Disapprove somewhat	349	957,024	0.49
(4) Disapprove strongly	244	667,588	0.34
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2	1,974	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SECONDCHANCELAW. Approval of 'Second Chance Society' law in Connecticut			
SECONDCHANCELAW	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,923	193,171,479	98.91
(1) Approve strongly	198	451,683	0.23
(2) Approve somewhat	501	1,065,769	0.55
(3) Disapprove somewhat	207	437,355	0.22
(4) Disapprove strongly	98	171,319	0.09
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2	1,528	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ILBUDGET. Approval of Governor Rauner handling budget deficit			
ILBUDGET	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,916	187,362,823	95.94
(1) Approve strongly	105	864,108	0.44
(2) Approve somewhat	221	1,741,366	0.89
(3) Disapprove somewhat	285	2,452,691	1.26
(4) Disapprove strongly	397	2,819,728	1.44
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	5	58,417	0.03
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CORRUPTION. Assessment of corruption in state government			
CORRUPTION	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	136,106	186,083,615	95.28
(1) Major problem	1,633	6,487,232	3.32
(2) Minor problem	946	2,204,048	1.13
(3) Not a problem	224	489,544	0.25
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	20	34,694	0.02
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TEACHERSUNIONS. Opinion of teachers unions			
TEACHERSUNIONS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	138,433	191,450,362	98.03
(1) Very favorable	99	647,290	0.33
(2) Somewhat favorable	86	666,171	0.34
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	82	635,766	0.33
(4) Very unfavorable	45	274,650	0.14
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	184	1,624,894	0.83
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

EDBUDGET. Support for raising taxes to increase funding for public schools in state			
EDBUDGET	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	111,132	161,777,061	82.84
(1) Strongly favor	5,069	5,175,433	2.65
(2) Somewhat favor	10,352	12,094,648	6.19
(3) Somewhat oppose	7,052	9,291,155	4.76
(4) Strongly oppose	5,171	6,737,525	3.45
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	153	223,310	0.11
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRANSPORTATION. Support for raising taxes to increase funding for infrastructure in state			
TRANSPORTATION	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	125,049	175,880,345	90.06
(1) Strongly favor	1,872	2,390,074	1.22
(2) Somewhat favor	5,317	6,450,382	3.30
(3) Somewhat oppose	3,940	5,804,816	2.97
(4) Strongly oppose	2,665	4,611,545	2.36
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	86	161,971	0.08
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PROSTITUTION. Views of legal prostitution in Nevada			
PROSTITUTION	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,889	193,667,606	99.16
(1) Should remain legal	2,736	1,079,603	0.55
(2) Should be banned	1,212	506,309	0.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	92	45,614	0.02
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GOVWORKER. Government worker			
GOVWORKER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,161	190,083,744	97.33
(1) Government worker, self	375	420,875	0.22
(2) Government worker in household	317	423,894	0.22
(3) No	4,063	4,356,753	2.23
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	13	13,866	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

INCOMETAX. Support for income tax in Washington state			
INCOMETAX	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,049	190,828,626	97.71
(1) Strongly favor	362	231,557	0.12
(2) Somewhat favor	740	613,837	0.31
(3) Somewhat oppose	1,065	1,128,620	0.58
(4) Strongly oppose	2,639	2,392,116	1.22
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	74	104,376	0.05
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ENERGY. Views of energy policy in state			
ENERGY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	116,885	173,097,459	88.63
(1) Focus on expanding production of fossil fuels, such as oil and gas	5,004	6,141,205	3.14
(2) Focus on expanding use of alternative energy such as solar and wind	16,681	15,586,216	7.98
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	359	474,252	0.24
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

COALHH. Work in coal industry			
COALHH	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,472	194,156,440	99.41
(1) Coal industry worker, self	97	34,363	0.02
(2) Coal industry worker in household	241	81,569	0.04
(3) No	3,116	1,024,963	0.52
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	3	1,797	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

OPIOIDEXP. Has had a family member or friend with addiction to opioids			
OPIOIDEXP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	138,320	194,683,042	99.68
(1) Yes	188	176,512	0.09
(2) No	405	407,238	0.21
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	16	32,341	0.02
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ENDANGEREDSPECIES. Views of protections for animals and plants under the Endangered Species Act			
ENDANGEREDSPECIES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,001	193,872,275	99.27
(1) Go too far	855	336,456	0.17
(2) Don't go far enough	1,336	444,311	0.23
(3) Are about right	1,679	628,874	0.32
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	58	17,216	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MURKOWSKISC. Approval of Lisa Murkowski's handling of Kavanaugh's confirmation			
MURKOWSKISC	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	138,452	194,771,350	99.73
(1) Approve strongly	93	84,527	0.04
(2) Approve somewhat	133	143,042	0.07
(3) Disapprove somewhat	123	148,780	0.08
(4) Disapprove strongly	122	138,821	0.07
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	6	12,613	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

COLLINSSC. Approval of Susan Collins' handling of Kavanaugh's confirmation			
COLLINSSC	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,932	194,345,998	99.51
(1) Approve strongly	249	254,713	0.13
(2) Approve somewhat	228	228,187	0.12
(3) Disapprove somewhat	214	226,336	0.12
(4) Disapprove strongly	304	242,887	0.12
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2	1,011	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

GASTAX. Taxes on gasoline in California			
GASTAX	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,543	177,550,627	90.91
(1) Too high	3,095	12,857,693	6.58
(2) Too low	258	1,045,887	0.54
(3) About right	1,001	3,701,635	1.90
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	32	143,290	0.07
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

HARRISPRES. Would Kamala Harris make a good president, or not?			
HARRISPRES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,543	177,550,627	90.91
(1) Yes	2,066	7,576,801	3.88
(2) No	2,103	8,878,333	4.55
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	217	1,293,371	0.66
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MURPHYPRES. Would Chris Murphy make a good president, or not?			
MURPHYPRES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,923	193,171,479	98.91
(1) Yes	430	904,830	0.46
(2) No	570	1,217,136	0.62
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	6	5,688	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BIDENPRES. Would Joe Biden make a good president, or not?			
BIDENPRES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,919	194,654,182	99.67
(1) Yes	620	383,539	0.20
(2) No	385	256,450	0.13
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	5	4,962	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MAPRESWARREN. Would Elizabeth Warren make a good president, or not?			
MAPRESWARREN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,332	191,147,463	97.87
(1) Yes	1,645	1,385,390	0.71
(2) No	2,869	2,664,154	1.36
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	83	102,126	0.05
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MAPRESPATRICK. Would Deval Patrick make a good president, or not?			
MAPRESPATRICK	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,332	191,147,463	97.87
(1) Yes	1,864	1,577,064	0.81
(2) No	2,611	2,446,550	1.25
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	122	128,056	0.07
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

NYPRESBLOOMBERG. Would Michael Bloomberg make a good president, or not?			
NYPRESBLOOMBERG	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,238	183,997,838	94.21
(1) Yes	2,001	4,352,558	2.23
(2) No	2,515	6,371,706	3.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	175	577,031	0.30
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

NYPRESGILLIBRAND. Would Kirsten Gillibrand make a good president, or not?			
NYPRESGILLIBRAND	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,238	183,997,838	94.21
(1) Yes	1,881	3,962,138	2.03
(2) No	2,621	6,658,614	3.41
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	189	680,543	0.35
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

KLOBUCHARPRES. Would Amy Klobuchar make a good president, or not?			
KLOBUCHARPRES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,016	192,216,656	98.42
(1) Yes	2,668	1,470,007	0.75
(2) No	2,079	1,473,844	0.75
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	166	138,625	0.07
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MERKLEYPRES. Would Jeff Merkley make a good president, or not?			
MERKLEYPRES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,919	192,339,325	98.48
(1) Yes	385	958,687	0.49
(2) No	616	1,976,170	1.01
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	9	24,950	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SANDERSPRES. Would Bernie Sanders make a good president, or not?			
SANDERSPRES	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	138,217	194,854,405	99.77
(1) Yes	431	262,017	0.13
(2) No	280	181,743	0.09
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1	967	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

UNFAIRFLGILLUM. Did Andrew Gillum attack DeSantis unfairly?			
UNFAIRFLGILLUM	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,506	182,388,681	93.39
(1) Yes	1,682	5,142,920	2.63
(2) No	2,597	7,087,487	3.63
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	144	680,044	0.35
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

UNFAIRFLDESANTIS. Did Ron DeSantis attack Gillum unfairly?			
UNFAIRFLDESANTIS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,506	182,388,681	93.39
(1) Yes	2,517	6,203,789	3.18
(2) No	1,760	6,038,534	3.09
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	146	668,128	0.34
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

UNFAIRNJMENENDEZ. Did Bob Menendez attack Hugin unfairly?			
UNFAIRNJMENENDEZ	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,440	189,882,104	97.23
(1) Yes	2,086	2,440,431	1.25
(2) No	2,164	2,662,229	1.36
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	239	314,369	0.16
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

UNFAIRNJHUGIN. Did Bob Hugin attack Menendez unfairly?			
UNFAIRNJHUGIN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,440	189,882,104	97.23
(1) Yes	2,340	2,636,393	1.35
(2) No	1,922	2,447,890	1.25
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	227	332,747	0.17
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

COMARIJUANA. Assessment of legalization of marijuana for Colorado			
COMARIJUANA	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,916	191,657,850	98.14
(1) Good thing	645	2,374,672	1.22
(2) Bad thing	365	1,261,200	0.65
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	3	5,410	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

REDTIDE. Support for regulations to address Red Tide and other environment issues along Florida's coast			
REDTIDE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,506	182,388,681	93.39
(1) Strongly favor	2,223	5,813,789	2.98
(2) Somewhat favor	1,448	4,634,609	2.37
(3) Somewhat oppose	479	1,528,801	0.78
(4) Strongly oppose	227	744,495	0.38
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	46	188,757	0.10
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FIRSTBLACKGOV. How important is it to your vote that Stacey Abrams would be the first black governor of Georgia?			
FIRSTBLACKGOV	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,552	189,892,922	97.23
(1) Very important	1,045	1,447,451	0.74
(2) Somewhat important	606	832,487	0.43
(3) Not too important	680	788,290	0.40
(4) Not at all important	2,017	2,276,361	1.17
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	29	61,622	0.03
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ALLOWEDVOTE. Confidence that those who are eligible to vote in GA will be allowed to vote in the election			
ALLOWEDVOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,318	188,960,080	96.75
(1) Very confident	1,856	2,546,359	1.30
(2) Somewhat confident	1,283	2,015,547	1.03
(3) Not too confident	992	1,207,109	0.62
(4) Not at all confident	459	527,660	0.27
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	21	42,378	0.02
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

EASEVOTING. Assessment of ease/difficulty of the process of voting for you in the election			
EASEVOTING	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,318	188,960,080	96.75
(1) Very easy	2,556	2,955,335	1.51
(2) Somewhat easy	1,363	2,021,917	1.04
(3) Somewhat difficult	549	1,052,356	0.54
(4) Very difficult	114	261,369	0.13
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	29	48,076	0.02
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

EMERGENCYRESPONSE. Confidence in Hawaii's emergency response systems			
EMERGENCYRESPONSE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,959	194,603,763	99.64
(1) Very confident	137	104,258	0.05
(2) Somewhat confident	491	341,535	0.17
(3) Not too confident	265	186,747	0.10
(4) Not at all confident	73	60,731	0.03
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	4	2,099	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TRADEDEMVSREP. Better job handling trade			
TRADEDEMVSREP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	95,210	149,597,402	76.60
(1) The Democratic Party	15,185	12,849,847	6.58
(2) The Republican Party	16,143	17,749,574	9.09
(3) Both equally	11,846	14,395,244	7.37
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	545	707,065	0.36
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

KSTAX. Assessment of Brownback's tax policies for Kansas			
KSTAX	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,186	193,607,824	99.13
(1) Good thing	804	334,306	0.17
(2) Bad thing	3,781	1,279,705	0.66
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	158	77,298	0.04
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MSHYDESMITHVSESPY. Hyde-Smith / Espy head-to-head			
MSHYDESMITHVSESPY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,436	193,795,660	99.23
(1) Cindy Hyde-Smith	1,313	598,295	0.31
(2) Mike Espy	1,465	526,669	0.27
(3) Undecided	528	263,648	0.14
(4) Would not vote	148	90,770	0.05
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	39	24,090	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

MSMCDANIELVSESPY. McDaniel / Espy head-to-head			
MSMCDANIELVSESPY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	135,436	193,795,660	99.23
(1) Chris McDaniel	1,076	469,351	0.24
(2) Mike Espy	1,453	530,388	0.27
(3) Undecided	708	355,689	0.18
(4) Would not vote	212	117,780	0.06
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	44	30,264	0.02
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PREEXISTINGCOND. Importance of government prohibiting insurance companies from charging those with pre-existing conditions more money			
PREEXISTINGCOND	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	126,175	186,119,703	95.30
(1) Very important	9,288	6,111,741	3.13
(2) Somewhat important	2,473	2,137,602	1.09
(3) Not too important	582	499,149	0.26
(4) Not at all important	325	325,953	0.17
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	86	104,984	0.05
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

Q2020EXCITEMENT. Excitement for 2020 presidential primary in state			
Q2020EXCITEMENT	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,629	191,202,176	97.90
(1) Very excited	1,468	1,108,747	0.57
(2) Somewhat excited	1,483	1,393,255	0.71
(3) Not too excited	965	1,128,897	0.58
(4) Not at all excited	351	441,512	0.23
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	33	24,545	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

HONESTMENEDEZ. Menendez is honest and trustworthy			
HONESTMENEDEZ	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,440	189,882,104	97.23
(1) Yes	1,041	1,364,798	0.70
(2) No	3,327	3,875,766	1.98
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	121	176,464	0.09
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

HONESTHUGIN. Hugin is honest and trustworthy			
HONESTHUGIN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,440	189,882,104	97.23
(1) Yes	1,755	1,897,178	0.97
(2) No	2,533	3,207,245	1.64
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	201	312,606	0.16
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

TAYLOR. Opinion of Taylor Swift			
TAYLOR	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,319	191,544,984	98.08
(1) Very favorable	648	431,566	0.22
(2) Somewhat favorable	1,020	730,146	0.37
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	769	580,986	0.30
(4) Very unfavorable	676	522,791	0.27
(5) Don't know enough to say	662	597,928	0.31
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	835	890,731	0.46
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CONFEDERATEFLAG. Reaction to confederate flag			
CONFEDERATEFLAG	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,319	191,544,984	98.08
(1) Positive	808	753,694	0.39
(2) Negative	1,409	888,884	0.46
(3) No reaction either way	2,379	2,095,413	1.07
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	14	16,157	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

OROURKETOOLIBERAL. Ideology of O'Rourke's positions on the issues			
OROURKETOOLIBERAL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,317	180,780,718	92.57
(1) Too liberal	1,810	6,066,968	3.11
(2) Not liberal enough	309	1,408,110	0.72
(3) About right	2,433	6,657,189	3.41
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	60	386,149	0.20
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CRUZFOCUS. Ted Cruz focused more on			
CRUZFOCUS	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,317	180,780,718	92.57
(1) National political ambitions	2,764	7,775,748	3.98
(2) Representing Texas in the Senate	1,782	6,372,265	3.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	66	370,402	0.19
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

ROMNEYTRUMP. Preference for Romney if he's elected			
ROMNEYTRUMP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	137,920	193,855,601	99.26
(1) Support President Trump	395	573,601	0.29
(2) Stand up to President Trump	612	867,420	0.44
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2	2,510	0.00
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

QPVVOTE. Voted in 2016			
QPVVOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) I did not vote in the 2016 presidential election	11,516	31,582,285	16.17
(2) I thought about voting in the 2016 presidential election, but didn't	5,110	14,682,181	7.52
(3) I usually vote, but I didn't in the 2016 presidential election	4,728	10,026,397	5.13
(4) I'm sure I voted	117,487	138,702,710	71.02
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	88	305,559	0.16
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

Q2016VOTE. 2016 vote			
Q2016VOTE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	104,794	150,321,358	76.97
(1) Donald Trump	13,579	20,173,678	10.33
(2) Hillary Clinton	16,451	18,875,934	9.67
(3) Someone else	3,865	5,595,416	2.87
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	240	332,746	0.17
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

FIRSTTIME. First time voter			
FIRSTTIME	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) First time voting	7,750	24,918,709	12.76
(2) Not	131,179	170,380,423	87.24
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

QPVVOTE3. Voted in 2014			
QPVVOTE3	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) I did not vote in the 2014 election for Congress	24,514	55,171,958	28.25
(2) I thought about voting in the 2014 election for Congress, but didn't	5,841	12,308,037	6.30
(3) I usually vote, but I didn't in the 2014 election for Congress	7,937	13,330,908	6.83
(4) I'm sure I voted	100,125	113,323,393	58.03
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	512	1,164,836	0.60
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

WNV. Biggest thing that might prevent from voting			
WNV	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	129,519	163,395,853	83.66
(1) I don't like the candidates	1,214	4,343,449	2.22
(2) I don't like politics generally	2,722	9,374,059	4.80
(3) It takes too much time to vote	529	1,722,237	0.88
(4) I don't know enough about the candidates' positions	3,059	9,529,727	4.88
(5) My vote doesn't matter	1,194	4,545,627	2.33
(6) I don't have the required identification	276	938,969	0.48
(7) I don't know where to vote	322	1,120,325	0.57
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	94	328,887	0.17
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BREAKA. Do you think the way Democrats talk about politics these days is leading to an increase in acts of violence, or don't you think so?			
BREAKA	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Yes, it is	61,255	91,638,508	46.92
(2) No, it is not	75,594	99,926,156	51.17
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	2,080	3,734,468	1.91
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BREAKB. Do you think the way Republican talk about politics these days is leading to an increase in acts of violence, or don't you think so?			
BREAKB	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Yes, it is	79,955	104,694,694	53.61
(2) No, it is not	57,085	87,019,814	44.56
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,889	3,584,625	1.84
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BREAKC. Which best describes when you decided how you would vote?			
BREAKC	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	9,436	31,962,609	16.37
(1) I've known all along	58,099	67,314,574	34.47
(2) I decided over the course of the campaign	52,735	63,157,683	32.34
(3) I decided in the last few days	9,337	14,813,958	7.59
(4) I still haven't decided	8,908	17,349,463	8.88
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	414	700,846	0.36
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SEX. Sex			
SEX	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Men	52,861	87,160,535	44.63
(2) Women	86,025	108,119,393	55.36
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	43	19,204	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

AGE65. Age, granular			
AGE65	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) 18-24	9,937	24,152,276	12.37
(2) 25-29	11,143	17,637,452	9.03
(3) 30-39	24,549	37,311,095	19.10
(4) 40-49	19,978	30,378,362	15.55
(5) 50-64	39,874	48,117,811	24.64
(6) 65+	33,082	37,116,361	19.00
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	366	585,776	0.30
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACETH. Race/Ethnicity			
RACETH	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) White	72,092	79,684,530	40.80
(2) African American or Black	7,243	13,959,924	7.15
(3) Latino or Hispanic	6,426	11,915,513	6.10
(4) Asian	2,607	3,650,294	1.87
(5) American Indian, Native American or Alaska Native	665	705,761	0.36
(6) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	232	251,301	0.13
(7) Other	4,073	3,851,232	1.97
(88) REMOVED FOR DISCLOSURE RISK	45,320	80,896,401	41.42
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	271	384,177	0.20
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RACETH5. Race/Ethnicity			
RACETH5	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) White	105,426	135,361,533	69.31
(2) African American or Black	12,075	25,906,480	13.27
(3) Latino or Hispanic	10,633	21,418,403	10.97
(4) Asian	3,301	4,620,824	2.37
(5) Other	7,125	7,431,680	3.81
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	369	560,213	0.29
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

CUBAN. Cuban Heritage			
CUBAN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	134,124	181,887,239	93.13
(1) Cuban	201	426,894	0.22
(2) Other Hispanic	917	2,042,562	1.05
(3) Non-Hispanic	3,678	10,916,710	5.59
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	9	25,726	0.01
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

EDUC. Education, 4 categories			
EDUC	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) High school or less	22,075	68,955,321	35.31
(2) Some college/assoc. degree	51,945	60,418,528	30.94
(3) College graduate	38,627	42,839,561	21.94
(4) Postgraduate study	26,241	22,995,585	11.77
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	41	90,138	0.05
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

INCOME. 2017 household income			
INCOME	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Under \$25,000	19,641	35,704,865	18.28
(2) \$25,000-\$49,999	32,769	45,350,733	23.22
(3) \$50,000-\$74,999	29,128	36,579,873	18.73
(4) \$75,000-\$99,999	22,494	25,781,127	13.20
(5) \$100,000 or more	33,227	49,337,025	25.26
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	1,670	2,545,509	1.30
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PARTY. Party ID (no leaners)			
PARTY	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Democrat	52,534	62,911,604	32.21
(2) Republican	41,587	59,529,790	30.48
(3) Neither	44,704	72,507,031	37.13
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	104	350,707	0.18
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

PARTYFULL. Party ID with leaners			
PARTYFULL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	1	590	0.00
(1) Democrat/Lean Dem	67,298	78,000,030	39.94
(2) Republican/Lean Rep	53,637	74,752,780	38.28
(3) Independent	17,994	42,546,322	21.79
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

IDEO. Ideology			
IDEO	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Very liberal	16,143	20,037,764	10.26
(2) Somewhat liberal	28,244	32,959,581	16.88
(3) Moderate	51,173	80,871,686	41.41
(4) Somewhat conservative	27,466	37,630,586	19.27
(5) Very conservative	15,317	22,391,424	11.47
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	586	1,408,092	0.72
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RELIG. Religion			
RELIG	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Protestant	29,349	33,406,447	17.11
(2) Catholic	26,480	37,735,097	19.32
(3) Mormon	1,133	1,508,101	0.77
(4) Other Christian	25,124	39,365,795	20.16
(5) Jewish	3,777	4,635,219	2.37
(6) Muslim	706	1,354,900	0.69
(7) Something else	10,404	14,864,676	7.61
(8) None	29,591	44,120,913	22.59
(88) REMOVED FOR DISCLOSURE RISK	11,721	17,432,200	8.93
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	644	875,785	0.45
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

RELIG4. Religion, 4 categories			
RELIG4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Protestant/Other Christian	59,143	79,998,530	40.96
(2) Catholic	28,985	41,176,946	21.08
(3) Other	17,955	25,099,445	12.85
(4) Unaffiliated	32,177	48,130,436	24.64
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	669	893,776	0.46
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

BORNAGAIN. Would you describe yourself as a born-again or evangelical Christian, or not?			
BORNAGAIN	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
.	95,081	121,884,993	62.41
(1) Yes	12,047	22,942,848	11.75
(2) No	31,485	49,852,975	25.53
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	316	618,316	0.32
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00

SIZEPLACE. Community type			
SIZEPLACE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Percent
(1) Urban	27,336	41,454,280	21.23
(2) Suburban	58,234	79,711,503	40.82
(3) Small town	27,972	38,130,663	19.52
(4) Rural	24,956	35,060,709	17.95
(99) DON'T KNOW/SKIPPED/REFUSED (VOL)	431	941,978	0.48
Total	138,929	195,299,132	100.00